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ABSTRACT

Background: Different stressors induce learning and memory impairment and physical activity influence learning and memory enhancement. In this research, we investigated the effect of synchronized running activity with stress on acquisition and retention time of passive avoidance test.

Methods: Male Wistar rats were randomly divided into five groups as follows: Control (Co), Sham (Sh), Exercise (Ex), Stress (St), synchronized exercise with stress (St and Ex) groups. Chronic restraint stress was applied by 6 h/day for 21 days and treadmill running 1 h/day for 21 days. For evaluation of learning and memory, initial and step‑through latency were determined at the end of study by using passive avoidance learning test.

Results: Our results showed that: (1) Exercise under no stress provides beneficial effects on memory acquisition and retention time compared to Control group; especially retention time had significantly \( P < 0.05 \) increased in exercised group. (2) Chronic stress with and without synchronized exercise significantly \( P < 0.01, P < 0.05 \), respectively impaired acquisition and retention time. (3) Body weight differences were significantly \( P < 0.01, P < 0.001 \) and \( P < 0.001 \) lower than Control group in exercise, stress and synchronized exercise with stress groups, respectively. (4) Adverse effects of restraint stress (psychical stress) were probably greater than physical activity effects on learning, memory and weight loss.

Conclusions: The data confirmed that synchronized exercise with stress had not significantly protective role in improvement of passive avoidance acquisition and retention time; hence it did not significantly improve learning and memory deficit in stressed rats; whereas exercise alone could improve memory deficit in rats.
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INTRODUCTION

Stress is an important factor that influences learning and memory processes, and may result in psychological disorders,[1] especially when it is prolonged and uncontrollable.[2,3] Accordingly,
a few studies have investigated possible ways of eliminating stress deleterious effects.[4] Physical activity is one of these strategies that is proposed to enhance cognitive functions.[5] Previous studies indicated that exercise can facilitate acquisition and/or retention in various hippocampal-dependent behavioral tasks including the passive avoidance,[6,7] active avoidance,[8] Morris water maze,[9,10] radial arm maze,[11] radial arm water maze[12,13] and object recognition.[14] It is documented that such kind of physical activity improve cognitive and spatial learning;[15‑17] hence, exercise probably enhances them via different mechanisms such as changes of neuronal activity, synaptic structure and the neurotransmitters synthesis that are important in learning and memory processing.[18]

Given that humans cannot spend much time during the day for performing running activity;[6] in present study, we used treadmill running since it is more similar to human exercise training, and allows to animals to run only for a limited time per day. Therefore, we are able to truly estimate protective effects of running activity. In other word, using a forced running paradigms (e.g., treadmill) are less well demonstrated on learning and memory. The goal of the present study was to determine the effects of synchronized running activity with stress on acquisition, consolidation and retrieval phases in cognitive function induced by chronic restraint stress. Therefore, in this study, we examined whether synchronized forced exercise with stress may in fact help to moderate learning impairment in stressed rats and to alter the behavioral response and learning performance.

METHODS

Experimental animals

Experiments were performed on 50 male Wistar rats, with an initial weight of 250-300 g that were obtained from Jondishapour Institute, Ahwaz, Iran. All of the experimental protocols were approved by the Committee of Ethics of the Isfahan University of Medical Science (Isfahan, Iran), followed the “Principles of Laboratory Animal Care” and carried out in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive of 24 November 1986 (86/609/EEC). Rats were housed five per each cage; under light-controlled condition (12 h light/dark cycle; lights on at 07:00 am -19:00 pm). The room temperature was 22 ± 2°C. Food and water were available ad libitum, except during the stressing procedure. All behavioral experiments were carried out at 13:00 pm -14:00 pm. A two weeks period were allowed to help animals adapt themselves to environment. Rats were randomly divided into five groups (n = 10 in each) as follows:

1. Control group (Co); rats were transported to the laboratory room and handled the same as the experimental animal throughout the study period and had no special treatment.
2. Sham group (Sh); rats were put on the treadmill without running during 1 h/day for 21 days.
3. Exercise group (Ex); rats ran during 1 h/day for 21 days on the treadmill.
4. Under stress group (St); rats were under restraint stress during 6 h/day for 21 days.
5. Synchronized exercise with stress group (St and Ex); per each day, exercise and stress were synchronically induced and with the same as above protocol for 21 days.

Experimental procedures

Experiments were performed in light cycle. Body weight was measured in days 1 and 21 of the experiment and body weight differences (BWD = BW<sub>Final</sub>−BW<sub>Initial</sub>) were evaluated; then at the end of the experiments; all rats were subjected to passive avoidance learning (PAL) test.

Stress paradigms

In current study, rats were placed in Plexiglas cylindrical restrainers and fit tightly into them during 6 h/day for 21 days in the chronic stress model. It was not possible for them to move or turn around.[19] Hence, restraint was a powerful stress in rats,[20] the stress procedure was carried out in the institutional animal facility throughout the experimental period at 8:00 am-14:00 pm each day. The animals from each group were randomly assigned to one apparatus.[21,22]

Exercise paradigms

The exercise protocol consisted of 1 h/day/6 consecutive days at 20-21 m/min, 0° slope, for 21 days running. Adaptation to treadmill running was performed before the experiments. Rats ran on the treadmill at 07:00 am -8:00 am. They were forced to run at the speed of the treadmill and received a mild electric shock from the grid, located just behind the treadmill. Electric shocks were used sparingly to motivate the animals to run. The
stress synchronized with the likelihood of getting shock was controlled by exposing the Sham groups to the treadmill apparatus without switching on the treadmill. These rats would receive the same electric shock when they stepped onto the grid.

**Behavioral apparatus and method**

The passive avoidance (PA) apparatus (Shuttle box 75 × 20 × 15 cm) was divided into two compartments that had grid floor and wooden walls. It consisted of a small light compartment (25 × 25 × 20 cm) and a larger dark room (50 × 25 × 20 cm). The two compartments were separated by a sliding guillotine door. The habituation trial was performed 1 day before the acquisition trial. Each rat was placed in the apparatus without electric shock for 5 min and the animal was allowed to explore the apparatus freely.

The acquisition trial was performed on the first experimental day; rats were placed individually in the light room for 1 min and then the guillotine door was raised, when the rat entered the dark room, the door was closed and an inescapable scrambled single foot electric shock (50 Hz, 0.2 mA, 3 s; once) was delivered through the grid floor by an isolated stimulator and the initial latency (IL) of entrance into the dark room was recorded. Rats with initial latency greater than 60 s were excluded from the study. Then the rat was removed from the PA apparatus to its home cage. The animals were tested for retention of passive avoidance response only once, 24 h later. The rat was placed in the light room again with access to the dark room without any shock for retention. The delay of entering to the dark room from light room was measured as step-through latency (STL) (up to a maximum of 300 s). If an animal did not enter the dark room within 300 s, the trial was terminated. Absence of entry to the dark room or a longer duration in the light room indicated a positive response. The passive avoidance task determines the ability of a rat to remember a delivered foot shock.

**Statistical analysis**

The latency of the passive avoidance test was analyzed using a Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric one-way analysis of variance corrected for ties, followed by a two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test. The comparisons of acquisition and retention time 24 h afterwards (within groups) were analyzed by Friedman test, followed by a Wilcoxon signed ranks test. Body weight differences were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey’s *post hoc* test for multiple groups.

All data were reported as the mean ± SEM in spite of the probable no normality of the distribution of scores, because it seems these parameters provide a clearer indication for most investigators. A *P* value less than 0.05 (*P* < 0.05) was considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Passive avoidance learning test**

Figures 1 and 2 respectively shows the initial latency (IL; acquisition latency time) and step-through latency (STL; retention latency time) of all groups in a single trial passive avoidance test, respectively.

Results indicated that there were not significant differences between Control and Sham groups in IL and STL, indicating that the treadmill electric shock had no significant effect in these parameters [Figures 1 and 2]. In Exercise (Ex) group, only STL was significantly (*P* < 0.05) higher (13.95%) than Control group [Figure 2].

In Stress group, both IL and STL were significantly (*P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.05; respectively) lower (55.95% and 24.33%; respectively) than Control group [Figures 1 and 2]. Therefore, stress obviously decreased acquisition and recall of passive avoidance response in this group. Also in St group, both IL and STL were significantly (*P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.001; respectively) lower (59.21% and 33.59%; respectively) than Ex group [Figures 1 and 2].

In synchronized exercise with stress group (St and Ex group), both IL and STL showed significant (*P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.05; respectively) decreases (52.28% and 21.03%; respectively) from Control group [Figures 1 and 2]. In addition, in St and Ex group, both IL and STL were significantly (*P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.001; respectively) lower than Ex (55.80% and 30.75%; respectively) group [Figures 1 and 2].

In St and Ex groups, IL and STL had no significant differences from St group [Figures 1 and 2]; indicating that synchronized exercise with stress could not significantly increases IL and STL.

The results of initial and step-through latency (IL and STL, respectively) were analyzed by related sample to evaluate within group latency changes. Our data showed that there were significant (*P* < 0.01) differences between IL and...
STL in all groups [Figure 3]. In overall, learning happened in all groups.

**Body weight difference**

Results indicated that there were not significant differences between Control and Sham groups in body weight difference (BWD; differences between final and initial weights), indicating that the treadmill electric shock had no significant effect in this parameter [Figure 4].

In St and St and Ex groups, the BWDs significantly (ANOVA, Tukey: \( P < 0.001 \)) were lower than Control (90.05% and 92.27%; respectively) and...
Sham (89.35 % and 91.73%; respectively) group [Figure 4].

In Ex group, BWD showed significant ($P < 0.01$) decrease (69.97%) from Control group [Figure 4].

The BWD in synchronized exercise with stress group (St and Ex group) had not significant differences from stressed group [Figure 4], indicating lower effect of running activity than chronic psychical stress on body weight loss.

**DISCUSSION**

The present results showed that although learning happened in all groups and had progressive trend, but it was different for each group [Figure 3]. Our results clearly confirmed that stress is accompanied by disturbance in the initial latency (IL; acquisition latency time) and step-through latency (STL; retention latency time) of animal performance in PAL [Figure 3]. In support of our finding, several studies have shown that chronic restraint stress impairs acquisition and retention of spatial memory tasks in rats. Indeed, chronic stress is an unavoidable condition and a negative modulator of learning and memory process. Since multiple transmitter systems interact extensively in the stressed rat brain, it can accelerate the onset and severity of cognitive dysfunctions. Several researches on rodents and humans have indicated that stress is an important factor that can alter brain cell properties and release of some neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine (Ach) that is important for learning. Therefore, stress can probably impair acquisition and retention of passive avoidance learning and/or disturb cognitive processes such as, learning and memory via disturbances of neurotransmitters release.

Present study also showed that exercise has beneficial effects on learning and memory. Animal studies on rats and mice reported better cognitive performance as a result of physical activities. Mechanisms of exercise effects on brain function are vary. It may result from structural and biological changes in the brain. Similarly, several studies have indicated that exercise can increase the speed of learning and establishment of memory and improve cognitive performance. Chen and et al. showed that treadmill exercise training facilitated PA aversive learning. There are various finding on improvements in both acquisition and retention, suggesting that exercise effects on different aspects of cognition may depend on factors such as the duration of exercise exposure, type of exercise performed (e.g. forced vs. voluntary), task difficulty, or other variables that have not yet been defined.

The STL of synchronized exercise with stress (St and Ex group) had no significant improvement compared to stress group [Figure 2]. On the other hand, similar comparison of STL between St and Ex group and Control group showed significant ($P < 0.01$) decrease [Figure 2]. Therefore, synchronized running activity with stress did not significantly eliminate negative effects of stress on learning. It seems that chronic stress has probably a much greater effect than running activity on retention trial with this exercise protocol. Similarly, Barnes et al. also did not observe beneficial effects of exercise on spatial memory. The mechanism of synchronized exercise with stress may be attributed to increases of oxidant status of the body by forced synchronized exercise with stress. Other possibility is that since chronic stress effects were stronger than running activity in this study, therefore stress deleterious effects do not allow the positive effects of exercise become apparent. On the contrary, several researchers have argued that physical activity may modify and reduce the physiological effects of stress. Zheng and et al. indicated protective properties of exercise against depressive behavior but these were not surprisingly agree to synchronized exercise with stress. Therefore, it seems that the observed differences in associated physical activity with psychological behavior may be due to involvement of different mechanisms.

Since in our study, regular treadmill running was performed with constant velocity or intensity, we suggest that if exercise must be performed progressively (it should begin from low to high duration and velocity) in synchronized running activity with stress, perhaps it can overcome stress deleterious effects. Other studies have also found that regular treadmill running, a mandatory exercise paradigm with defined exercise intensity and duration, had beneficial effects on neural health and function, and it can protect neurons from various brain insults and also, improved PA
retention and spatial learning. Therefore, it is noteworthy to consider emotional stress during exercise results in diminishing beneficial effect of exercise, so the results fully corroborated that exercise is presumably time dependent respect to stress.

According to our findings, body weight decreased in emotional stressed and exercised group [Figure 4] which was in conformity with previous reports. Contrary to our finding, Marin and et al. reported that weight loss was not observed by restraint stress. Mechanism of restraint stress and exercise may respectively be due to decreasing food intake and decline of fatty mass. Also, it is possible that other factors such as leptin involve in body weight changes. In the current study, also weight loss in St and Ex group was not significantly higher than St group. It indicates that psychical and/or emotional stress has probably greater effect than physical activity on weight loss.

CONCLUSIONS
Our results for the passive avoidance learning test indicated synchronized running activity with stress has little beneficial effects in improvement of acquisition and retention time of passive avoidance due to stress. Therefore, this kind of exercise did not significantly improve both acquisition and retention for under stress rats. Further research needs to be conducted to identify these mechanisms in animal, hence it is better that some neurotransmitters and other factors such as leptin that involve in these variables are assayed.
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