

Stem Cell Therapy in Stroke: A Review Literature

Rokhsareh Meamar^{1,2}, Leila Dehghani², Majid Ghasemi^{1,3}, Fariborz Khorvash^{1,3}, Vahid Shaygannejad^{1,3}

¹Isfahan Neurosciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ²Department of Medical Science, Islamic Azad University, Najafabad Branch, Isfahan, Iran, ³Department of Neurology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Correspondence to:

Dr. Rokhsareh Meamar, Isfahan Neurosciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: Meamar@pharm.mui.ac.ir

Date of Submission: Feb 21, 2013

Date of Acceptance: Feb 23, 2013

How to cite this article: Meamar R, Dehghani L, Ghasemi M, Khorvash F, Shaygannejad V. Stem cell therapy in stroke: A review literature. Int J Prev Med 2013;Suppl 2: S139-46.

ABSTRACT

Stroke is an important cause of death in the world and disability world-wide especially in developed countries. Following acute phase of stroke, some procedures and medical treatment such as thrombolytic agents has been recommended; nevertheless many patients have enduring deficits. Thus, there is a realistic need to develop treatment strategies for reducing neurological deficits. However, the stem cell (SC) therapy could arrange an alternative intervention for disease modifying therapy. In this article, we present a brief review of different methods of SC therapy in stroke patients and discuss the results with different cell types and routes of administration.

Keywords: Clinical, stem cell, stroke, treatment, trials

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is an important cause of death in the world and disability worldwide especially in developed countries.^[1] It has two sub-types, hemorrhagic and ischemic and the latter make up the majority of all strokes, almost 80% of the total^[2] susceptibilities of neurons in the brain to injuries such as ischemia leading to difficult treatment of suffered patients from the involved diseases.^[3]

Following acute phase of stroke, some procedures and medical treatment such as thrombolytic agents has been recommended; nevertheless many patients have enduring deficits.^[4] Thus, there is a realistic need to develop treatment strategies for reducing neurological deficits. On the other hand,^[5] in stroke, damage process is acute and restricted in time, also multiple cell types including, endothelial and neural cells has been lost therefore, the brain may be more ready to transplantation than in other neurologic diseases.

However, the stem cell (SC) therapy could arrange an alternative intervention for disease modifying therapy.^[5]

In this article, we present a brief review of different methods of SC therapy in stroke patients and discuss the results with different cell types and routes of administration.

Mechanism of tissue repair

Application of SC therapy in stroke has been discussed for its indecisive mechanism of action and lack a specific intention.^[6,7] Some purposed mechanisms for this approach are incorporation

into the host brain to replace within the damaged host tissue however, limited evidences exist; also it has been confirmed that acute cell delivery could reduce lesion size and inhibits apoptosis suggesting a significant role for cell-induced neuroprotection and immunomudolatory effects that could down-regulate many inflammatory and immune responses in transplanted host as well as vascular repair for promoting endothelial proliferation in the peri-infarct region.^[8-12]

According to covered mechanism within SC, two strategies are presented to diminish an ongoing degenerative process or immunological attack. One is the transplantation of SCs to supply new neurons into the infracted brain by the activation of intrinsic neural stem cells (NSCs) or delivery of extrinsic SCs such as embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induce pluripotent stem (iPS) cells derived neural cells. The second approach is usage of SCs by preparing immunomodulatory and neuroprotective support in transplanted graft.^[6,7]

Endogenous neurogenesis

It was already reported that two restricted regions of the adult mammalian brain including the subgranular zone (SGZ) and subventricular zone (SVZ) of the lateral ventricle are as a rich source of NSCs involving in the neurogenesis process.^[13,14] NSCs of the SGZ can proliferate and differentiate mainly into neuronal cells under ischemic condition that potentially present in the human. Usage of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) is a notable example, which is routinely used in hematological malignancies to activating endogenous SCs for transplantation.^[15] In rodent models of stroke, G-CSF has been demonstrated to be beneficial,^[16,17] by inducing improvement of cell proliferation of the SGZ and enhancement of SC mobilization and residency to brain.^[16] This hopefully results in animal models opened a noble vision for transplantation in Phase I/II clinical trials in stroke.^[18-21] Although, it is uncertain that G-CSF acts as a neuroprotective or neuroregenerative effectors in post-stroke patients.

Exogenous neurogenesis

Up to now, the following sources have been examined for brain repair: ESCs and iPSs derived neural cells, NSCs or other SCs originated from different tissues, e.g., bone marrow (BM).

Embryonic stem cells and iPSs cells

In 1998, ESCs were first originated from the inner cell mass of blastocysts.^[22] These cells can be differentiated by various methods into neural progenitor cells.^[23] In animal model, ESCs cells derived neural cells could survive in stroke lesions of brain, and differentiated into mature neurons.^[15] However, there are potential concerns following ESC application. ESCs have the ability of unlimited growth in culture, which could be associated with a high-risk of teratoma formation and ethical concerns about destruction of human fertilized eggs. IPS were established in 2007^[24] and it can be provided by delivering a cocktail of four transcription factors including, c-myc, Sox 2, oct 4 and k1f4, also known as yamanaka factors into human skin fibroblasts. It has been confirmed that IPS cells could be differentiated into various type of neurons.

Despite lack of ethical problem of these cells, high teratoma-forming properties of IPS cells is a critical problem and nowadays techniques that develop the efficiency of reprogramming have been advanced which are less invasive for generating iPSs.^[25]

NEURAL STEM CELLS

NSCs are one of the sub-types of adult SCs, which are particularly found in the brain of both fetal and adult mammals with ability of differentiation to three major central nervous system (CNS) cell types: Neurons, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes.^[26,27] Unlike ESCs and fetal NSCs, adult NSCs could be used without ethical problem.^[28] However, there are some major obstacles in clinical application. The source of NSCs is most importantly problem and appropriate source of human NSCs has to be determined. NSCs separated from adult brain as neurospheres and create neurons under differentiating conditions in vitro.^[29,30] It has been indicated that delivery of intravenously or intraparenchymal NSCs could improve functional recovery in rodent models of stroke.^[31,32]

Cell lines

Some SC lines from rodent CNS and human tissues have been introduced as another transplantation source. Immortalized cell line "NT2" a neuronally committed human teratocarcinoma cell line is an example, which is originated from a human testicular germ cell tumor.^[33] Another cell line, the "MHP 36 cells" of murine NSCs have been shown to decrease infarct volume and improve functional outcome after transplantation.^[34] Recently, the production of immortalized cell lines of human fetal NSCs has been introduced HB1.F3 is one of them which have been showed a potential ability for differentiation to neurons and widespread migration from the site of injection to other anatomical locations in a mouse model of stroke.^[34]

Other stem cells

There are two SC populations with distinct progenies within adult BM, hematopoietic stem cells (hSCs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). MSC could differentiate into cartilage, fat, bone, and muscle and some studies showed differentiation capacity for transformation to neural-like cells *in vitro*^[35] and *in vivo*^[36] (in spite of the evidences that this trans-differentiation is rare) There are several useful advantages of clinical application of MSCs including; easily obtained from BM, the potential of autologous transplantation, no need for immunosuppressive regimes, lack the ethical issues associated with embryonic- and fetal-derived cells and are less susceptible to malignant changes and genetic abnormalities^[8,25]

In rodent models of stroke, MSC transplantation through Intravenous, intra-arterial, and intra-cerebral routes have shown a beneficial effect on functional improvement.^[37-39]

Strong immunomodulatory effects of MSCs on the host immune system and secretion a number of trophic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor supporting this idea that these cells presented as an excellent candidates for therapeutic treatment in the adult CNS.^[29]

In addition, the use of hSCs for BM transplantation is well-investigated. Systemically or intra-cerebral transplantation of CD34+ cell (including populations of hematopoietic and endothelial stem and progenitor cells) resulted in evidence of reduced infarct size and progression of functional recovery in animal model.^[15]

Delivery route for exogenous cell therapy

Another important point in SC transplantation is the route of cell implantation. However, it is difficult to find an optimum time for transplantation, because many studies used distinct models of stroke, cell types, methods of cell delivery, and outcome measurements to evaluate efficacy. Transplantation time was optimized based on the used cell type and their mechanism of action. Acute delivery should be better considered, if major target in treatment was concentrated on neuroprotective mechanisms, but sub-acute delivery would be recommended if the main goal was planned on repair mechanisms.^[40]

Indeed, administration route of delivery may also order the timing of transplantation intravascular delivery may be ideal in early time window of 24 h out to a month after stroke onset. In contrast, intraparenchymal injection of cells would be chosen when the initial inflammatory response has ceased and this condition may permit better engraftment.^[25,29,30]

In the other hand, every route of administration has safety issues. Intravenous delivery as a systemic route is less invasive approach than injection into the brain; but it raises concerns about microemboli formation.^[26] It seems that the use of immunosuppression in intravenous SCs transplantation had no improvement result on behavioral outcomes in pre-clinical studies.

Intra-arterial (intra-carotid) administration is preferred to intravenous delivery, avoiding of first pass effect resulting in better crossing of cells into the brain.^[15] Intraparenchymal transplantation is more invasive, moreover this cavity characterized as an inflammatory environment without trophic support. Facilitation of graft survival in the cavity will be supported by delivered cells within a scaffold shield.^[26]

OUTCOME MEASUREMENT

Most of the clinical trials in stroke has focused on motor function measurements such as the Modified Rankin scale and the Barthel index and can neglect significant recovery of other improvement scales.^[29,30] Due to different variety of clinical manifestations of stroke we could not describe a definite outcome scales for evaluating of disease recovery. Cramer *et al.* have suggested that in clinical trials "modality specific outcome measures" are more appropriate for evaluation of disease remission.^[41] This method indicates that the specific outcome measurement should be suitable to proper patients, for example in patients with upper extremity motor weakness, specific

International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 8th Iranian Neurology Congress, Vol 4, Mar Supplement 2, 2013

motor function tests such as the Fugl-Meyer and in aphasic patients, specific aphasia scales should be considered.

In addition, the distribution of the brain lesion, and monitoring of survival, migration, and function of SCs in host brain will be evaluated by non-invasive imagines, magnetic resonance imaging, bioluminescence imaging, and positron emission tomography.^[14] Rueger *et al.* presented that *in vivo* mobilization of endogenous NSCs in the SVZ after stroke could be measured by positron emission tomography.^[42]

CLINICAL TRIALS

Cell-based therapies open a promising view in the treatment of stroke. In 2007, researchers and members of the National Institutes of Health had been arranged a meeting with clear recommendation for facilitation of the translational progression of cellular therapies from animal studies to clinical trials that was named "Stem Cell Therapies as an Emerging Paradigm in Stroke [STEPS]." In following, a second meeting was organized that called "STEPS 2" in 2010. At this meeting, new recommendations were classified to create a novel guideline for future researching plan based on treatment with SCs.^[43]

Hicks *et al.*^[44] discussed about effectiveness of cell therapies in 69 different non-clinical studies of stroke. As regards the significant discrepancies between animal models and human stroke, some queries including, safety procedures, optimal cell dose, source and delivery route, need for immunosuppressant should be clearly clarified before leading SC therapy studies in humans.

In the first reported clinical trial in 12 patients with basal ganglia infarct, human neuronal cells (NT2N cells) were transplanted stereotactically. In a follow-up with positron emission tomograghy scans, in 6 of 11 patients after 6 months, increasing of fluorodeoxyglucose at the transplanted site was observed. Other patients showed improvement in the European Stroke Scale (ESS).^[45] This trial was conducted a Phase II randomized clinical trial to test safety, feasibility and effectiveness of transplantation for ischemic or hemorrhagic basal ganglia stroke for eight patients. Patients were recruited to two cell doses (seven patients per group) administration or a non-surgical group (n = 4). A single seizure was observed in one patient the day after the surgery and a patient experienced a subdural hematoma 1 month after engraftment. The primary outcome ESS had no improvement but some secondary outcome measures such as Stroke Impact Scale, Everyday Memory Scores, and Action Reach Arm Test were significantly developed in the transplanted group during 6 months. In this study, the feasibility of neuronal cells transplantation was confirmed.^[46]

In a small Phase I trial, fetal porcine cells intra-cerebrally were injected in five patients with basal ganglia infarcts, but the food and drug adminstration stopped the trial because adverse effects were observed in two patients. Neurological worsening 3 weeks after the intervention was observed in one patient and a patient experienced one seizure attack 1 week after transplantation.^[47]

Bang *et al.* started a study to indicate the efficacy of MSC transplantation in stroke patients. Five patients were recruited for intravenously transplantation of autologous MSCs with ischemic stroke at 5-9 weeks after the stroke onset. No side-effect was observed just after transplantation in patients. At 1 year follow-up, Barthel index and modified Rankin score showed a non-significant trend toward better scores in treated patients and a clinically feasible and relatively safe procedure was confirmed.^[48] However, this study due to missing appropriate follow-up and treatment analysis has a potentially bias.

Lee *et al.* transplanted MSCs intravenously in 52 patients with ischemic stroke an open-label, observer-blinded clinical trial^[49] and followed by a pilot clinical trial using intravenous injection of MSCs that was performed into 12 patients with ischemic stroke 36-133 days after stroke. They concluded that intravenous injection of autologous MSCs could be a safe and effective management for ischemic stroke. Furthermore, no proved clinical trial in its clinical efficacy is present.^[50]

Three additional clinical trials are currently ongoing to investigate the role of hSCs therapy in ischemic stroke with different methods of delivery. These researches utilized autologous CD34+ cells in acute as well as chronic ischemic stroke patients. The results will be announced in the future.^[15] Other clinical trial was carried out by Rabinovich *et al.*^[51] Human fetal cells were transplanted into the subarachnoid space of ten patients with ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke. They didn't have precise conclusion about its efficacy due to lack of outcome measurements.

Man *et al.* in 2006^[52] designed intravenous injection of human umbilical cord blood stem cells to evaluate the improvement in post-stroke patients and no side-effects were reported. At 3 months follow-up, Fugl-Meyer Assessment and Barthel Index were significantly better in transplanted patients and a clinically feasible and relatively safe procedure was concluded. Moreover in this study, control group was not designed.

Yang *et al.* in 2005^[53] carried out a clinical trial based on intrathecal injection of ESC derived NSC in 26 patients. Improvement at ESS and Bartel Index were indicated in 23 patients. Despite lack of control group in this design, no adverse effects were observed except a transient fever in four patients. Recently, a Phase I trial was planned using NSC line, to be injected stereotactically in ischemic patients (ReNeuron, UK).^[54]

At last, Due to small sample size and the lack of double-blinded controls in most of these clinical trials, it is difficult to get exact conclusion. Only in one year follow-up Kondziolka *et al.* and Bang *et al.* studies, there was no report of teratoma formation but for rejecting of tumorigenic potential hypothesis, the long-term follow-up is needed.^[28]

There are many challenges of translating animal studies on SC therapies to the clinical trial and an important advance for the future is best incorporation into the whole neurological system of the recipients for a full clinical recovery; something, which is recently being more focused on in most of the studies.^[8]

MENSTRUAL BLOOD CELLS FOR STROKE

Firstly the existence of SCs in the endometrium was reported over 30 years ago.^[55] High proliferating potential in these cells could be described with monthly shedding of the superficial layers. Stromal stem cells that obtained from menstrual blood were expanded and presented clonogenic and multipotentiality properties *in vitro*.^[56] Moreover, menstrual blood stem cells (MenSCs) expressed

markers of pluripotency, such as Oct-4, SSEA-4, something like presented in ESCs. There are several advantages in clinical application of MenSCs including: Increased availability in autologous transplantation, lack of ethical conflicts and low immunogenicity due to the lack of MHC class II expression, immunomodulation properties and secretion of neurotrophic factors such as VEGF and angiogenic properties and finally differentiation capacity and express neural markers (MAP 2 and Nestin) *in vitro*. For these characteristic, MenSCs are suggested as a restorative therapy for post-stroke patients aiming to rehabilitation and functional improvement.^[57,58]

Tissue repair and functional improvement of menstrual blood cell administration have been confirmed in animal model. Borlongan *et al.* reported functional stabilization after MenSCs transplantation in the 12 months follow-up, without adverse events presentation.^[59] The safety aspects of MenSCs administration was investigated by only clinical study.^[60] Four patients with multiple sclerosis were recruited to intrathecal injections; also one patient received an extra-intravenous injection. These preliminary data proposed feasibility of MenSCs application but further studies by this novel SC type should be planned for more confirmation.

CONCLUSIONS

According to previously published papers, more advanced investigations should be performed for confirmation of SC transplantation efficacy for stroke. We don't exactly know, whether this procedure can progress functional outcome in these patients. Large and well-designed trials should be planned in stroke patients for getting appropriate decision about application of SC in clinical approach^[61] (Finally, this intervention has not proved in human yet and unresolved questions including, type of cell type, cell numbers to be delivered, best time of treatment, optimum route of delivery must be clarified in this issue.^[15]

REFERENCES

- 1. Lindvall O, Kokaia Z. Stem cells for the treatment of neurological disorders. Nature 2006;441:1094-6.
- Banerjee S, Williamson D, Habib N, Gordon M, Chataway J. Human stem cell therapy in ischaemic stroke: A review. Age Ageing 2011;40:7-13.

- 3. Abe K, Kogure K. Selective gene expression after brain ischemia. Prog Brain Res 1993;96:221-36.
- 4. Adams HP Jr, del Zoppo G, Alberts MJ, Bhatt DL, Brass L, Furlan A, *et al.* Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke: A guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: The American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. Stroke 2007;38:1655-711.
- 5. Hess DC, Borlongan CV. Cell-based therapy in ischemic stroke. Expert Rev Neurother 2008;8:1193-201.
- 6. Locatelli F, Bersano A, Ballabio E, Lanfranconi S, Papadimitriou D, Strazzer S, *et al.* Stem cell therapy in stroke. Cell Mol Life Sci 2009;66:757-72.
- Abe K, Yamashita T, Takizawa S, Kuroda S, Kinouchi H, Kawahara N. Stem cell therapy for cerebral ischemia: From basic science to clinical applications. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2012;32:1317-31.
- 8. Bliss TM, Andres RH, Steinberg GK. Optimizing the success of cell transplantation therapy for stroke. Neurobiol Dis 2010;37:275-83.
- 9. Lindvall O, Kokaia Z. Stem cell research in stroke: How far from the clinic? Stroke 2011;42:2369-75.
- 10. Muthaian R, Minhas G, Anand A. Pathophysiology of stroke and stroke-induced retinal ischemia: Emerging role of stem cells. J Cell Physiol 2012;227:1269-79.
- 11. Smith HK, Gavins FN. The potential of stem cell therapy for stroke: Is PISCES the sign? FASEB J 2012;26:2239-52.
- 12. Burns TC, Steinberg GK. Stem cells and stroke: Opportunities, challenges and strategies. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2011;11:447-61.
- Alvarez-Buylla A, Garcia-Verdugo JM. Neurogenesis in adult subventricular zone. J Neurosci 2005;22:629-34.
- 14. Gage FH. Mammalian neural stem cells. Science 2000;287:1433-8.
- 15. Bühnemann C, Scholz A, Bernreuther C, Malik CY, Braun H, Schachner M, *et al.* Neuronal differentiation of transplanted embryonic stem cell-derived precursors in stroke lesions of adult rats. Brain 2006;129:3238-48.
- 16. Shyu WC, Lin SZ, Yang HI, Tzeng YS, Pang CY, Yen PS, *et al.* Functional recovery of stroke rats induced by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor-stimulated stem cells. Circulation 2004;110:1847-54.
- 17. Schäbitz WR, Kollmar R, Schwaninger M, Juettler E, Bardutzky J, Schölzke MN, *et al.* Neuroprotective effect of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor after focal cerebral ischemia. Stroke 2003;34:745-51.

- Sprigg N, Bath PM, Zhao L, Willmot MR, Gray LJ, Walker MF, *et al.* Granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor mobilizes bone marrow stem cells in patients with subacute ischemic stroke: The Stem cell Trial of recovery EnhanceMent after Stroke (STEMS) pilot randomized, controlled trial (ISRCTN 16784092). Stroke 2006;37:2979-83.
- Shyu WC, Lin SZ, Lee CC, Liu DD, Li H. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor for acute ischemic stroke: A randomized controlled trial. CMAJ 2006;174:927-33.
- 20. Bath PM, Sprigg N. Colony stimulating factors (including erythropoietin, granulocyte colony stimulating factor and analogues) for stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(2):CD005207.
- 21. Schäbitz WR, Schneider A. Developing granulocytecolony stimulating factor for the treatment of stroke: Current status of clinical trials. Stroke 2006;37:1654.
- 22. Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, Swiergiel JJ, Marshall VS, *et al.* Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science 1998;282:1145-7.
- 23. Daadi MM, Maag AL, Steinberg GK. Adherent self-renewable human embryonic stem cell-derived neural stem cell line: Functional engraftment in experimental stroke model. PLoS One 2008;3:e1644.
- 24. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, *et al.* Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell 2007;131:861-72.
- 25. Ito D, Okano H, Suzuki N. Accelerating progress in induced pluripotent stem cell research for neurological diseases. Ann Neurol 2012;72:167-74.
- 26. Meamar R, Karamali F, Sadeghi HM, Etebari M, Nasr-Esfahani MH, Baharvand H. Toxicity of ecstasy (MDMA) towards embryonic stem cell-derived cardiac and neural cells. Toxicol *in vitro* 2010;24:1133-8.
- 27. Meamar R, Dehghani L, Karamali F. Toxicity effects of methamphetamine on embryonic stem cell-derived neuron. J Res Med Sci 2012;17:470-4.
- 28. Miller RH, Bai L. Translating stem cell therapies to the clinic. Neurosci Lett 2012;519:87-92.
- 29. Hou L, Hong T. Stem cells and neurodegenerative diseases. Sci China C Life Sci 2008;51:287-94.
- Jakel RJ, Schneider BL, Svendsen CN. Using human neural stem cells to model neurological disease. Nat Rev Genet 2004;5:136-44.
- 31. Chu K, Kim M, Jeong SW, Kim SU, Yoon BW. Human neural stem cells can migrate, differentiate, and integrate after intravenous transplantation in adult rats with transient forebrain ischemia. Neurosci Lett 2003;343:129-33.

- 32. Chu K, Kim M, Park KI, Jeong SW, Park HK, Jung KH, *et al.* Human neural stem cells improve sensorimotor deficits in the adult rat brain with experimental focal ischemia. Brain Res 2004;1016:145-53.
- Andrews PW, Damjanov I, Simon D, Banting GS, Carlin C, Dracopoli NC, *et al.* Pluripotent embryonal carcinoma clones derived from the human teratocarcinoma cell line Tera-2. Differentiation *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Lab Invest 1984;50:147-62.
- 34. Veizovic T, Beech JS, Stroemer RP, Watson WP, Hodges H. Resolution of stroke deficits following contralateral grafts of conditionally immortal neuroepithelial stem cells. Stroke 2001;32:1012-9.
- 35. Song L, Tuan RS. Trans differentiation potential of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow. FASEB J 2004;18:980-2.
- 36. Azizi SA, Stokes D, Augelli BJ, DiGirolamo C, Prockop DJ. Engraftment and migration of human bone marrow stromal cells implanted in the brains of albino rats – Similarities to astrocyte grafts. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:3908-13.
- 37. Chen J, Li Y, Wang L, Lu M, Zhang X, Chopp M. Therapeutic benefit of intracerebral transplantation of bone marrow stromal cells after cerebral ischemia in rats. J Neurol Sci 2001;189:49-57.
- 38. Chen J, Zhang ZG, Li Y, Wang L, Xu YX, Gautam SC, *et al.* Intravenous administration of human bone marrow stromal cells induces angiogenesis in the ischemic boundary zone after stroke in rats. Circ Res 2003;92:692-9.
- 39. Li Y, Chen J, Wang L, Lu M, Chopp M. Treatment of stroke in rat with intracarotid administration of marrow stromal cells. Neurology 2001;56:1666-72.
- 40. Carmichael ST. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of neural repair after stroke: Making waves. Ann Neurol 2006;59:735-42.
- 41. Cramer SC, Koroshetz WJ, Finklestein SP. The case for modality-specific outcome measures in clinical trials of stroke recovery-promoting agents. Stroke 2007;38:1393-5.
- 42. Rueger MA, Backes H, Walberer M, Neumaier B, Ullrich R, Simard ML, *et al.* Noninvasive imaging of endogenous neural stem cell mobilization *in vivo* using positron emission tomography. J Neurosci 2010;30:6454-60.
- 43. Savitz SI, Chopp M, Deans R, Carmichael ST, Phinney D, Wechsler L. Stem Cell Therapy as an Emerging Paradigm for Stroke (STEPS) II. Stroke 2011;42:825-9.
- 44. Hicks A, Schallert T, Jolkkonen J. Cell-based therapies and functional outcome in experimental stroke. Cell Stem Cell 2009;5:139-40.
- 45. Kondziolka D, Wechsler L, Goldstein S, Meltzer C,

Thulborn KR, Gebel J, *et al.* Transplantation of cultured human neuronal cells for patients with stroke. Neurology 2000;55:565-9.

- Kondziolka D, Steinberg GK, Wechsler L, Meltzer CC, Elder E, Gebel J, *et al.* Neurotransplantation for patients with subcortical motor stroke: A phase 2 randomized trial. J Neurosurg 2005;103:38-45.
- 47. Savitz SI, Dinsmore J, Wu J, Henderson GV, Stieg P, Caplan LR. Neurotransplantation of fetal porcine cells in patients with basal ganglia infarcts: A preliminary safety and feasibility study. Cerebrovasc Dis 2005;20:101-7.
- 48. Bang OY, Lee JS, Lee PH, Lee G. Autologous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in stroke patients. Ann Neurol 2005;57:874-82.
- 49. Lee JS, Hong JM, Moon GJ, Lee PH, Ahn YH, Bang OY, *et al.* A long-term follow-up study of intravenous autologous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in patients with ischemic stroke. Stem Cells 2010;28:1099-106.
- 50. Honmou O, Houkin K, Matsunaga T, Niitsu Y, Ishiai S, Onodera R, *et al.* Intravenous administration of auto serum-expanded autologous mesenchymal stem cells in stroke. Brain 2011;134:1790-807.
- 51. Rabinovich SS, Seledtsov VI, Banul NV, Poveshchenko OV, Senyukov VV, Astrakov SV, et al. Cell therapy of brain stroke. Bull Exp Biol Med 2005;139:126-8.
- 52. Man Y, Li J, Yang B, Ma J. Vein transplantation using human umbilical cord blood stem cells in the treatment of stroke sequela. Neural Regen Res 2006;1:618-21.
- 53. Yang QC, Zhang XD, Liang CC, Du Y, Li HW. Functional evaluation of stroke patients 6 months after intrathecal injection of neural stem cells. Chinese J Clin Rehabil 2005;9:208-10.
- ReNeuron receives: UK ethics committee Favourable Opinion for stroke clinical trial. ReNeuron. Available from: http://www.reneuron.com/news_events/news/ document_196_237.php. [Last accessed on 2009].
- 55. Prianishnikov VA. On the concept of stem cell and a model of functional-morphological structure of the endometrium. Contracept 1978;18:213-23.
- 56. Patel AN, Park E, Kuzman M, Benetti F, Silva FJ, Allickson JG. Multipotent menstrual blood stromal stem cells: Isolation, characterization, and differentiation. Cell Transplant 2008;17:303-11.
- 57. Sanberg PR, Eve DJ, Willing AE, Garbuzova-Davis S, Tan J, Sanberg CD, *et al.* The treatment of neurodegenerative disorders using umbilical cord blood and menstrual blood-derived stem cells. Cell Transplant 2011;20:85-94.
- 58. Rodrigues MC, Glover LE, Weinbren N, Rizzi JA, Ishikawa H, Shinozuka K, *et al.* Toward personalized cell

International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 8th Iranian Neurology Congress, Vol 4, Mar Supplement 2, 2013

therapies: Autologous menstrual blood cells for stroke. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011;2011:194720.

- 59. Borlongan CV, Kaneko Y, Maki M, Yu SJ, Ali M, Allickson JG, *et al.* Menstrual blood cells display stem cell-like phenotypic markers and exert neuroprotection following transplantation in experimental stroke. Stem Cells Dev 2010;19:439-52.
- 60. Zhong Z, Patel AN, Ichim TE, Riordan NH, Wang H,

Min WP, *et al.* Feasibility investigation of allogenetic endometrial regenerative cells. J Transl Med 2009;7:7-15.

61. Boncoraglio GB, Bersano A, Candelise L, Reynolds BA, Parati EA. Stem cell transplantation for ischemic stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;(9):CD007231.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Announcement

"QUICK RESPONSE CODE" LINK FOR FULL TEXT ARTICLES

The journal issue has a unique new feature for reaching to the journal's website without typing a single letter. Each article on its first page has a "Quick Response Code". Using any mobile or other hand-held device with camera and GPRS/other internet source, one can reach to the full text of that particular article on the journal's website. Start a QR-code reading software (see list of free applications from http://tinyurl.com/yzlh2tc) and point the camera to the QR-code printed in the journal. It will automatically take you to the HTML full text of that article. One can also use a desktop or laptop with web camera for similar functionality. See http://tinyurl.com/2bw7fn3 or http://tinyurl.com/3ysr3me for the free applications.