

Current Trends of Male Reproductive Health Disorders and the Changing Semen Quality

Pallav Sengupta

Department of Physiology, Vidyasagar College
for Women, University of Calcutta, Kolkata,
West Bengal, India

Date of Submission: Jul 24, 2013

Date of Acceptance: Nov 11, 2013

Correspondence to:

Dr. Pallav Sengupta,
Department of Physiology, Vidyasagar
College for Women, 39, Sankar Ghosh
Lane, University of Calcutta,
Kolkata - 700 006, West Bengal, India.
E-mail: sunny_pallav_1984@yahoo.co.in

How to cite this article: Sengupta P. Current trends of male reproductive health disorders and the changing semen quality. *Int J Prev Med* 2014;5:1-5.

Reproductive health is the basic human right which refers a state of complete physical, social and mental well-being and not merely the absence of disease and infirmity in all matters relating to the reproductive system and to its functions and processes.^[1] However during the past few decades, a number of reports have appeared, which have raised serious concerns about the development of reproductive problems in animals and man.^[2] Simultaneously, there have been controversial reports of alterations in human semen quality along with reports of an emerging incidence of congenital malformations of the male reproductive tract, such as cryptorchidism and hypospadias^[3] and of an increasing trend of testicular cancer.^[4]

Even though a lot of the changes observed in male reproductive health are controversial, there seems little discrepancy that testicular cancer is increasing in the rate of recurrence, with an unexplained increase in the age-standardized incidence observed in Europe^[5] and the USA.^[6] In the west of Scotland, the number of testicular germ cell tumors registered has more than doubled over 1990 than it was in 1960,^[7] whereas a study from Norway reported that the age-related frequency of testicular cancer increased from 2.7/1 lakh individuals in 1955 to 8.5/1 lakh individuals in 1992.^[4] In the USA,

the overall age-related incidence of testicular and germ cell cancer has increased 3.5-fold during the past 60 years.^[6] There is considerable geographical variation in both the occurrence of testicular cancer and in the observed rate of its growth.^[8] The study by Bergström *et al.*^[5] evaluated data from Denmark, Norway, Sweden, the former German Democratic Republic, Finland and Poland, including data on over 30,000 cases of testicular cancer from 1945 to 1989 in men aged 20-84. They reported considerable regional variation in both the incidence of testicular cancer and in the observed rate of increase, ranging from a 2.3% increase per annum in Sweden to 5.2% per annum in the former East Germany. However, from some other studies it is now clear that men with a history of cryptorchidism, inguinal hernia, hypospadias and hydrocele have a significantly increased risk of testicular cancer.^[9] It has been suggested that paternal occupation before conception may alter the testicular cancer risk of offspring,^[10] as may the parental use of pesticides or fertilizers^[11] or childhood residence in areas with a high nitrate concentration in ground water.^[12] In a case control study in the UK, Davies *et al.*^[13] found that, while cryptorchidism was a major risk factor for testicular cancer, each extra quarter pint of milk consumed amplified the risk by 1.39-fold.

The incidence of congenital malformation of the male genital tract is also changing its pattern, with an increase in the prevalence of cryptorchidism and hypospadias.^[14] Cryptorchidism has increased by as much as 65-77% over recent decades in the UK,^[3] whereas USA data have shown that rates of cryptorchidism have not changed,^[15] although a large study from the USA reported that rates of hypospadias have doubled between the 1970s and 1980s.^[16] Källén *et al.*^[17] who conducted a multicentre study of 8122 boys from seven malformation surveillance systems around the

world, resolved that a true geographical variation exists in the prevalence of hypospadias at birth. Berkowitz *et al.*^[18] who were considering at the risk factors for cryptorchidism, suggested that maternal obesity, low birth weight, the presence of other congenital malformations, ethnic group and a family history may be relevant. Others have suggested strong associations between cryptorchidism and low social class.^[19]

The proposition that semen quality is changing is not new. In an article in 1974, Nelson and Bunge^[20] reported data on 386 men presenting for vasectomy

Table 1: Some recent references showing current trends in semen quality worldwide (1982-2013)

	Year	Country	Sample size	Age	Semen volume	Sperm concentration	Sperm morphology	Sperm motility
Nieschlag <i>et al.</i> ^[33]	1982	Germany	43	A. 29 (3.2); B. 67 (7.8)	↓ (NS)	↑ (P<0.05)	↔	↓ (P<0.0005)
Homonnai <i>et al.</i> ^[34]	1982	Israel	555	A. 31 (0.2); B. 54 (4.2)	30% ↓	↔	↔	↓
Dondero <i>et al.</i> ^[35]	1985	Italy	445	A. <40; B. 40-60; C. >60	↓ after age 40 (NS)	↓ after age 40 (NS)	↓ (NS)	↓ after age 40 (NS)
Haidl <i>et al.</i> ^[36]	1996	Germany	64	A. 32.2; B. 50.3	↔ (NS)	↓ (P=0.01)	↓ (NS)	↓ (P=0.04)
Spandorfer <i>et al.</i> ^[37]	1998	U.S.A.	821	A. ≤39; B. 40-49; C. ≥50	↓ (P<0.05)	↔	↔	↓
Andolz <i>et al.</i> ^[38]	1999	Spain	20,411	31.9 (5.4); 15-74	0.5% ↓ per year of age	0.7% ↓ per year of age	0.2% ↓ per year of age	0.3% ↓ per year of age
Rolf <i>et al.</i> ^[39]	1999	Germany	3,437	19-63	↓ (P<0.0001)	↔	ND	↔
Eskenazi <i>et al.</i> ^[40]	2003	U.S.A.	97	22-80	0.03 mL decrease per year of age	r=-2.5% per year	ND	0.7% ↓ per year of age
Ng <i>et al.</i> ^[41]	2004	Australia	567	52-79	Age-dependent ↓ (P<0.001)	ND	25% ↓ per year (P<0.001)	ND
Meeker <i>et al.</i> ^[42]	2007	U.S.A.	388	>45	Age-dependent ↓	Age-dependent ↓	Age-dependent ↓	Age-dependent ↓
Stewart <i>et al.</i> ^[43]	2009	Australia	225	>30	↓ with low sperm count	Age-dependent ↓	Age-dependent ↓	↓
Tang <i>et al.</i> ^[44]	2012	China	104	A. <35; B. 35-39; C. ≥40	↔ (NS)	↔ (NS)	ND	↓
Jajoo and Kalyani ^[45]	2013	India	100	A. <30; B. ≥30	↓	↓	↓	↓

Data are represented as mean (SD). ↓=Decrease, ↑=Increase, ↔=No change, SD=Standard deviation, NS=Not significant at P<0.05, no P value indicates that no statistical testing was done

in the USA. The mean sperm concentration of this group was $48 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$, only 7% of them were reported to have sperm concentrations above $100 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ which is far below than the concentrations reported in the earlier studies of $120 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ ^[21] and $145 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ ^[22] respectively. In 1951, MacLeod and Gold^[23] had published their landmark study of semen quality in 1,000 male partners in infertile relationships, together with a similar number of men of proven fertility and reported an average sperm concentration of $107 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$, with 5% of them having sperm concentrations under $20 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ and 44% having above $100 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$. In 1980, James^[24] reported the first review of published data on semen quality in men of proven fertility and in unselected normal men. Jensen *et al.*^[25] in 1992 reawakened concern over the possibility of secular trends in semen quality, publishing a meta-analysis of data on semen quality of 14,947 men published since 1930 in normal men. Analysis for sperm concentration suggested an apparent decline from $113 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ in 1940 to $66 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ in 1990. Bromwich *et al.*^[26] later speculated that much of the apparent change in semen quality could be accounted for by a change in the “accepted” definition of the lower limits of “normal” semen from around $60 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ in the 1920s^[27] to $20 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$, which is the figure commonly accepted today.^[28]

Most of the reports that appeared closely after the meta-analysis of Jensen *et al.*^[25] provided alternative interpretations of the data. Auger *et al.*^[29] published data on semen quality in fertile Parisian men by examining 1750 fertile men with consistent methods of subject recruitment and laboratory technique, during a 20 year period. They observed a fall in all of the classical measures of semen quality over time. Irvine^[30] later observed that the median sperm concentration fell from $98 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ among donors born before 1959 in Scotland to $78 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ amongst donors born after 1970. In a similar study, Van Waeleghem *et al.*^[31] observed declines in sperm concentration from a mean of $71 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ to $58.6 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ in sperm donors of Belgium.

Most lately, a very careful reanalysis of the historical data^[25] on semen quality in normal men has been published.^[32,46-52] Using a linear model, they found that sperm concentrations and the

rate of decline in sperm concentration differed significantly across regions. This along with some other reports suggest that the reported world-wide decline in semen quality is also real [Table 1].

REFERENCES

1. Sengupta P, Chaudhuri P, Bhattacharya K. Male reproductive health and yoga. *Int J Yoga* 2013;6:87-95.
2. Guillette LJ Jr, Gross TS, Masson GR, Matter JM, Percival HF, Woodward AR. Developmental abnormalities of the gonad and abnormal sex hormone concentrations in juvenile alligators from contaminated and control lakes in Florida. *Environ Health Perspect* 1994;102:680-8.
3. Cryptorchidism: A prospective study of 7500 consecutive male births, 1984-8. John Radcliffe Hospital Cryptorchidism Study Group. *Arch Dis Child* 1992;67:892-9.
4. Wanderås EH, Tretli S, Fosså SD. Trends in incidence of testicular cancer in Norway 1955-1992. *Eur J Cancer* 1995;31A: 2044-8.
5. Bergström R, Adami HO, Möhner M, Zatonski W, Storm H, Ekblom A, *et al.* Increase in testicular cancer incidence in six European countries: A birth cohort phenomenon. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1996;88:727-33.
6. Zheng T, Holford TR, Ma Z, Ward BA, Flannery J, Boyle P. Continuing increase in incidence of germ-cell testis cancer in young adults: Experience from Connecticut, USA, 1935-1992. *Int J Cancer* 1996;65:723-9.
7. Hatton MQ, Paul J, Harding M, MacFarlane G, Robertson AG, Kaye SB. Changes in the incidence and mortality of testicular cancer in Scotland with particular reference to the outcome of older patients treated for non-seminomatous germ cell tumours. *Eur J Cancer* 1995;31A:1487-91.
8. Adami HO, Bergström R, Möhner M, Zatoński W, Storm H, Ekblom A, *et al.* Testicular cancer in nine northern European countries. *Int J Cancer* 1994;59:33-8.
9. Prener A, Engholm G, Jensen OM. Genital anomalies and risk for testicular cancer in Danish men. *Epidemiology* 1996;7:14-9.
10. Sengupta P. Environmental and occupational exposure of metals and their role in male reproductive functions. *Drug Chem Toxicol* 2013;36:353-68.
11. Sengupta P, Banerjee R. Environmental toxins: Alarming impacts of pesticides on male fertility. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 2014.
12. Møller H. Work in agriculture, childhood residence, nitrate exposure, and testicular cancer risk: A case-control study in Denmark. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1997;6:141-4.

13. Davies TW, Palmer CR, Ruja E, Lipscombe JM. Adolescent milk, dairy product and fruit consumption and testicular cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1996;74:657-60.
14. Sengupta P. The Laboratory Rat: Relating its age with humans. *Int J Prev Med* 2013;4:624-30.
15. Berkowitz GS, Lapinski RH, Dolgin SE, Gazella JG, Bodian CA, Holzman IR. Prevalence and natural history of cryptorchidism. *Pediatrics* 1993;92:44-9.
16. Paulozzi LJ, Erickson JD, Jackson RJ. Hypospadias trends in two US surveillance systems. *Pediatrics* 1997;100:831-4.
17. Källén B, Bertollini R, Castilla E, Czeizel A, Knudsen LB, Martinez-Frias ML, *et al.* A joint international study on the epidemiology of hypospadias. *Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl* 1986;324:1-52.
18. Berkowitz GS, Lapinski RH, Godbold JH, Dolgin SE, Holzman IR. Maternal and neonatal risk factors for cryptorchidism. *Epidemiology* 1995;6:127-31.
19. Møller H, Skakkebaek NE. Risks of testicular cancer and cryptorchidism in relation to socio-economic status and related factors: Case-control studies in Denmark. *Int J Cancer* 1996;66:287-93.
20. Nelson CM, Bunge RG. Semen analysis: Evidence for changing parameters of male fertility potential. *Fertil Steril* 1974;25:503-7.
21. Hotchkiss RS. Semen analysis of two hundred fertile men. *Am J Med Sci* 1938;196:362.
22. Farris EJ. The number of motile spermatozoa as an index of fertility in man; a study of 406 semen specimens. *J Urol* 1949;61:1099-104.
23. Macleod J, Gold RZ. The male factor in fertility and infertility. II. Spermatozoon counts in 1000 men of known fertility and in 1000 cases of infertile marriage. *J Urol* 1951;66:436-49.
24. James WH. Secular trend in reported sperm counts. *Andrologia* 1980;12:381-8.
25. Jensen TK, Giwercman A, Carlsen E, Scheike T, Skakkebaek NE. Semen quality among members of organic food associations in Zealand, Denmark. *Lancet* 1996;347:1844.
26. Bromwich P, Cohen J, Stewart I, Walker A. Decline in sperm counts: An artefact of changed reference range of "normal"? *BMJ* 1994;309:19-22.
27. Chandra AK, Sengupta P, Goswami H, Sarkar M. Effects of dietary magnesium on testicular histology, steroidogenesis, spermatogenesis and oxidative stress markers in adult rats. *Indian J Exp Biol* 2013;51:37-47.
28. World Health Organization. WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination of Human Semen and Sperm-Cervical Mucus Interaction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992.
29. Auger J, Kunstmann JM, Czyglik F, Jouannet P. Decline in semen quality among fertile men in Paris during the past 20 years. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:281-5.
30. Irvine S. Is the human testis still an organ at risk? *BMJ* 1996;312:1557-8.
31. Van Waeleghem K, De Clercq N, Vermeulen L, Schoonjans F, Comhaire F. Deterioration of sperm quality in young healthy Belgian men. *Hum Reprod* 1996;11:325-9.
32. Swan SH, Elkin EP, Fenster L. Have sperm densities declined? A reanalysis of global trend data. *Environ Health Perspect* 1997;105:1228-32.
33. Nieschlag E, Lammers U, Freischem CW, Langer K, Wickings EJ. Reproductive functions in young fathers and grandfathers. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1982;55:676-81.
34. Homonnai ZT, Fainman N, David MP, Paz GF. Semen quality and sex hormone pattern of 29 middle aged men. *Andrologia* 1982;14:164-70.
35. Dondero F, Mazzilli F, Giovenco P, Lenzi A, Cerasaro M. Fertility in elderly men. *J Endocrinol Invest* 1985;8 Suppl 2:87-91.
36. Haidl G, Jung A, Schill WB. Ageing and sperm function. *Hum Reprod* 1996;11:558-60.
37. Spandorfer SD, Avrech OM, Colombero LT, Palermo GD, Rosenwaks Z. Effect of parental age on fertilization and pregnancy characteristics in couples treated by intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:334-8.
38. Andolz P, Bielsa MA, Vila J. Evolution of semen quality in North-eastern Spain: A study in 22,759 infertile men over a 36 year period. *Hum Reprod* 1999;14:731-5.
39. Rolf C, Kenkel S, Nieschlag E. Age-dependent changes in semen characteristics of patients attending a tertiary infertility clinic [abstract R-022]. In: Abstracts of the 15th Annual Meeting of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology. Tours, France. *Hum Reprod* 1999;288-9.
40. Eskenazi E, Bradman A, Gladstone E, Jaramillo S, Birch K, Holland N. CHAMACOS, a longitudinal birth cohort study: Lessons from the fields. *J Children's Health* 2003;1:3-27.
41. Ng KK, Donat R, Chan L, Lalak A, Di Pierro I, Handelsman DJ. Sperm output of older men. *Hum Reprod* 2004;19:1811-5.
42. Meeker JD, Godfrey-Bailey L, Hauser R. Relationships between serum hormone levels and semen quality among men from an infertility clinic. *J Androl* 2007;28:397-406.
43. Stewart TM, Liu DY, Garrett C, Jørgensen N, Brown EH, Baker HW. Associations between andrological measures, hormones and semen quality in fertile Australian men:

- Inverse relationship between obesity and sperm output. *Hum Reprod* 2009;24:1561-8.
44. Tang WH, Jiang H, Ma LL, Hong K, Zhong Q, Yang CS, *et al.* Relationship of sperm morphology with reproductive hormone levels in infertile men. *Zhonghua Nan Ke Xue* 2012;18:243-7.
 45. Jajoo S, Kalyani KR. Prevalence of abnormal semen analysis in patients of infertility at a rural setup in Central India. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol* 2013;2:161-4.
 46. Sengupta P. Potential health impacts of hard water. *Int J Prev Med* 2013;4:866-75.
 47. Dutta S, Joshi KR, Sengupta P, Bhattacharya K. Unilateral and bilateral cryptorchidism and its effect on the testicular morphology, histology, accessory sex organs and sperm count in laboratory mice. *J Hum Reprod Sci* 2013;6:106-10.
 48. Sengupta P, Sahoo S. A cross sectional study to evaluate the fitness pattern among the young fishermen of coastal Orissa. *Indian J Public Health Res Dev* 2013;4:171-5.
 49. Krajewska-Kulak E, Sengupta P. Thyroid function in male infertility. *Front Endocrinol* 2013;4:174.
 50. Sengupta P, Chaudhuri P, Bhattacharya K. A small-scale cross-sectional study for the assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness in relation to body composition and morphometric characters in fishermen of araku valley, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Int J Prev Med* 2014. [In Press].
 51. Bhattra T, Bhattacharya K, Chaudhuri P, Sengupta P. Correlation of common biochemical markers for bone turnover, serum calcium and alkaline phosphatase, in post-menopausal women. *Malaysia J Med Sci* 2014;21:58-61.
 52. Bhattarai T, Chaudhuri P, Bhattacharya K, Sengupta P. Effect of progesterone supplementation on post-coital unilaterally ovariectomized superovulated mice in relation to implantation and pregnancy. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* 2014; 7:29-31.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.