Co-Administration of Silymarin and Deferoxamine against Kidney, Liver and Heart Iron Deposition in Male Iron Overload Rat Model
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ABSTRACT

Background: Tissue iron deposition may disturb functions of the organs. In many diseases like thalassemia, the patients suffer from iron deposition in kidney and heart tissues. Deferoxamine (DF) is a synthetic iron chelator and silymarin (SM) is an antioxidant and also a candidate for iron chelating. This study was designed to investigate the effect of DF and SM combination against kidney and heart iron deposition in an iron overload rat model.

Methods: Male Wistar rats were randomly assigned to 5 groups. The iron overloading was performed by iron dextran 100 mg/kg/day every other day during 2 weeks and in the 3rd week, iron dextran was discontinued and the animals were treated daily with combination of SM (200 mg/kg/day, i.p.) and DF (50 mg/kg/day, i.p.) (group 1), SM (group 2), DF (group 3) and saline (group 4). Group 5 received saline during the experiment. Finally, blood samples were obtained and kidney, heart and liver were immediately removed and prepared for histopathological procedures.

Results: The results indicated no significant difference in kidney function and endothelial function biomarkers between the groups. However, combination of SM and DF did not attenuate the iron deposition in the kidney, liver and heart. DF alone, rather than DF and SM combination, significantly reduced the serum level of malondialdehyde (P < 0.05). Co-administration of SM and DF significantly increased the serum level of ferritin (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: DF and SM may be potentially considered as iron chelators. However, combination of these two agents did not provide a protective effect against kidney, liver and heart iron deposition.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevention of tissue iron deposition is extremely important for physiological functions of the organs. Mammals do not have any physiological pathway for iron excretion. Therefore, iron
homeostasis is regulated by the level of iron absorption. Disorder in iron absorption leads to iron deficiency or overload.\cite{1} Furthermore, regulation of iron is influenced in hereditary hemochromatosis or thalassemia.\cite{1} Transfusional hemosiderosis in major thalassemia patients leads to iron overload and then iron deposition in some organs.\cite{23} Iron excess in kidney tissue may form hydroxyl radical, which promotes organ damaging including tubular damage.\cite{3,4} Many of the patients with kidney diseases and anemia suffer from iron deposition in kidney tissue.\cite{5-8} Cardiac iron deposition also is the leading cause of death in the patients with sickle cell disease and thalassemia\cite{9,10} possibly due to cell apoptosis.\cite{11}

Many efforts have been made to prevent iron deposition in functional tissues. Deferoxamine (DF), as an iron chelator,\cite{12} is the most common drug to prevent the iron level increase in plasma or specific organ tissues in patients,\cite{13-15} and it was subject of research for such purpose in experimental animals.\cite{16-19}

Different substances such as nitric oxide (NO),\cite{20,21} sesame oil\cite{15} or tocotrienols\cite{22} had been evaluated as nephroprotectants against iron-induced injury. Alpha-tocopherol also decreases iron-induced hippocampal neuron loss.\cite{23} Among the anti-oxidant agents, silymarin (SM) is derived from the herb milk thistle (Silybum marianum), which has the iron chelating effect,\cite{24-26} and it has strong affinity with iron ions.\cite{27} The antioxidant effect of SM in the renal ischemia/reperfusion injury\cite{28,29} and renal toxicity\cite{30,31} has been investigated before. Previously, we designed an intensive iron overload rat model by administration of iron dextran (200 mg/kg/every other day) for a period of 4 weeks, and the animals were treated with oral SM and injections of DF and we found that the intensity of iron overload was too high. However, SM alone reduced iron deposition in the kidney.\cite{32} In the current study, we attempted to perform a moderate iron overload model during 2 weeks and then the role of SM and DF injections would be considered.

**METHODS**

**Animals**

A total of 30 adult male Wistar rats (178 ± 3 g) were randomly assigned to 5 groups. The rats were individually housed at a temperature of 23-25°C. Rats had free access to water and chow. The experimental procedures were in advance approved by the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee.

Groups 1-4 received iron dextran (Vifor Inc., Switzerland) 100 mg/kg every other day during the first 2 weeks of experiment. Then, during the 3rd week, the iron dextran was discontinued and the animals were treated daily as follows:

- **Group 1** (n = 5) received combination of SM (200 mg/kg/day, i.p) and DF (50 mg/kg/day, i.p.); and 
- **Groups 2-4** (n = 6 for each group) received SM (200 mg/kg/day, i.p.), DF (50 mg/kg/day, i.p.), or saline (0.5 ml/rat/day; positive control group), respectively.

- **Group 5** (n = 7) assigned as the negative control group that received saline during the 3 weeks of the experiment.

Blood samples were obtained at the end of the experiment before the animals were sacrificed humanely. The kidney, heart and liver were immediately removed and prepared for histopathological procedures.

**Measurements**

The levels of serum creatinine (Cr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and iron were determined using quantitative diagnostic kits (Pars Azmoon, Iran). The serum level of nitrite (stable NO metabolite) was measured using a colorimetric enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Promega Corporation, USA) that involves the Griess reaction. The serum level of ferritin was measured using the enzyme immunoassay ELISA kit for rat (Immunology Consultants Laboratory Inc., USA). The serum level of malondialdehyde (MDA) was measured manually. Briefly, the samples were mixed with trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged. Thiobarbituric acid was added to the supernatant and the mixture was incubated in hot water bath. After cooling, the absorbance was measured at 532 nm. The serum concentrations of MDA were reported in µmole/l.

**Histopathological procedures**

The removed kidneys and heart were fixed in 10% neutral formalin solution and embedded in paraffin for staining to examine iron deposition in the kidney, heart and liver. The kidney, heart and liver iron deposition were evaluated by a pathologist who was totally blind to the study. On the basis of the intensity of tissue iron deposition and damage, the kidney and heart tissue damage scores (KTDS, HTDS, liver tissue damage score [LTDS]) were graded from 1 to 5,
whereas score 0 was assigned to normal kidney tissue without any damage and iron deposition.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. The after-treatment serum levels of BUN, Cr, nitrite and ferritin were compared between the groups using the one-way analysis of variance. The Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney tests were applied to compare the tissue damage score between the groups. \( P \leq 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Weight change**

During the overloading period (1\(^{st}\) 2 weeks of the experiment), groups 1-4 were not significantly different with regard to weight gain (15\(^{th}\) day weight-1\(^{st}\) day weight), while weight gain in the normal group (group 5) was significantly higher than those in the other groups (\( P < 0.05 \)). To compare the weight change during the experiment (last day weight-first day weight; \( \Delta W \)), the \( \Delta W \) were 12.2 ± 3.8, 24.9 ± 6.7, 14.7 ± 3.3, 12.1 ± 3.5, and 29.6 ± 5.6 g in groups 1 to 5, respectively. \( \Delta W \) in the normal group (group 5) had no difference from group 2, but it was significantly greater than the values obtained for groups 1, 3, and 4 (\( P < 0.05 \)).

**Serum levels of BUN, Cr and nitrite and normalized kidney weight**

According to the results, the groups were not significantly different in serum levels of BUN, Cr, and nitrite, as well as the normalized kidney weight [Figure 1]. Although the groups were not significantly different, co-administration of SM

![Figure 1: Serum levels of blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, and nitrite; and normalized kidney weight in four experimental groups. No significant differences were observed among the groups. Groups 1-4 received iron dextran for 2 weeks and then treated with combination of silymarin (SM) and deferoxamine, SM, and saline, respectively. Group 5 received saline only during the experiment. The blue color indicates iron deposition](image-url)
and DF seems to increase these parameters toward a higher value when compared with the other groups.

**Serum levels of MDA and ferritin and kidney, liver and heart damage**

The groups were significantly different with respect to the serum levels of ferritin and MDA [Figure 2]. Treatment with DF alone potentially reduced the serum level of MDA and the intensity of heart iron deposition. However, co-administration of SM and DF significantly increased the serum level of ferritin when compared with group 4 \((P < 0.05)\). The pathology data indicated that iron deposition in kidney and liver tissues was clearly detectable. Kidney and liver pathology damage score (KTDS and LTDS) in the iron-treated groups was significantly different from the saline-treated group \((P < 0.05)\). The mean values for KTDS and LTDS in group 1 was greater than those for groups 2-4. However, The KTDS and LTDS obtained for groups 1-4 were not significantly different; indicating that DF, SM, or combination of both did not attenuated the iron deposition in the kidney and liver [Figure 3]. The iron deposition in heart tissue was trace, but some iron depositions were observed in the diaphragm. No difference was observed in iron deposition intensity among groups 1-4 [Figure 3].

**DISCUSSION**

The role of combination of SM and DF against deposition of iron in an iron overload rat model was investigated. The results confirmed that co-administration of SM and DF did not attenuate the intensity of iron deposition in the kidney and heart.

![Figure 2: Serum levels of malondialdehyde and ferritin, and kidney and liver damage scores in five experimental groups. The statistical differences were shown by * (difference from groups 3 to 5), # (difference from group 5), $ (difference from groups 2, 3, and 4), and + (difference from group 3). Groups 1-4 received iron dextran for 2 weeks and then treated with combination of silymarin (SM) and deferoxamine (DF), SM, DF and saline, respectively. Group 5 received saline only during the experiment. The blue color indicates iron deposition](image-url)
SM has antioxidant properties, and it has been known as an iron chelator.\cite{24-26} DF also is avidly used in clinic as an iron chelator, too. We expected to have a strong iron chelator by combination of SM and DF. Nevertheless, our expectation was not confirmed in the present animal model. At this stage, pharmacokinetic interaction of the agents is the only possible mechanism to explain such unexpected results. We found similar results in our previous study when the dose of iron dextran and the duration of overloading were almost twice.\cite{32} Najafzadeh et al. reported a positive role of iron chelator for SM when the agent was administrated as prophylaxis.\cite{17} Furthermore, oral administration of SM alone (200 mg/kg) was found to be kidney protective against KID while the dose of 400 mg/kg was not kidney protective.\cite{32} Therefore, the route of administration and the model of iron overload are the possible factors, which could disturb the results. By considering other findings in the current study, the serum levels of ferritin and MDA in SM or DF alone groups were less than those for the group that received combination of SM and DF. This also verifies that both SM and DF alone may reduce the level of iron or oxidative stress in the subjects, but by unknown mechanism the combination may reverse the expected results.

Based on the serum levels of BUN and Cr, no renal dysfunction occurred. Together with pathological data, the KID did not disturb kidney functions. It is reported that a single dose of 600 mg/kg iron dextran for 24 h increased the serum level of BUN and Cr.\cite{33} Such observation was not seen in our study possibly first due to continuous administration of iron dextran and second we measured the serum levels of BUN and Cr 2 weeks after discontinuation of iron overloading.

With regard to the serum level of NO, no significant difference was observed among the groups. NO is a common biomarker to evaluate the endothelial function, and it is reported that increase in iron level disturbs endothelial function in patients\cite{34,35} while iron chelators may improve the endothelial function.\cite{36,37} In this study, since nitrite is a NO metabolite, no endothelial dysfunction
occurred. However, nitrite is not the only NO metabolite. Another metabolite of NO is nitrate. We did not measure the serum nitrate level in the current study since nitrite is a valid marker to determine NO production from endothelium. Plasma nitrate levels are influenced by a variety of NO synthase independent factors. Therefore, 2 weeks of iron overload did not lead to endothelial dysfunction. However, many flavonoids are poorly absorbed. The poor absorption of flavonoid nutrients is likely result from two factors. Firstly, they are multiple-ring molecules too large to be absorbed by simple diffusion, while they are not absorbed actively, as occurs with some vitamins and minerals. Secondly, flavonoid molecules generally have poor miscibility with oils and other lipids, severely limiting their ability to pass across the lipid-rich outer membranes of the enterocytes of the small intestine.

Water-soluble flavonoid molecules can be converted into lipid-compatible molecular complexes, aptly called phytosomes. Phytosomes are better able to transit from a hydrophilic environment into the lipid-friendly environment of the enterocyte cell membrane and from there into the cell, finally reaching the blood.

CONCLUSIONS
Co-administration of SM and DF did not attenuate the serum level of iron reservoir such as ferritin and combination of these agents was not protective against iron deposition in kidney and heart. However, according to the current study and the work done by others, it seems that SM or DF alone demonstrated a better result to protect organ against iron disorder.
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