## **Brief Communication**

# Preliminary Investigation of a Novel Mouthguard

### **Abstract**

Background: Mouthguards (MGs) remain an important piece of personal protection for athletes for the prevention of injury. Although MGs provide tremendous benefits, the design and fabrication process does not record the position of the mandible or the occlusion, which could lead to injury. This study compared a novel MG to over-the-counter (OTC) and custom MGs on a skull model. Methods: The OTC MG was formed as per manufacturer's guidelines, the custom MG was laboratory fabricated, and the novel MG was fabricated through a proprietary process. Each group of the three MGs was assessed for vertical dimension change, occlusal contacts, and condylar displacement. Results: Average number of occlusal contacts for the OTC, custom and novel MG were 2.4, 4.0, and 10, respectively. There was a significant difference between all values (P < 0.05). Average change in vertical dimension for the OTC, custom, and novel MG were 15.3 mm, 9.3 mm, and 8.0 mm, respectively. The novel MG value was significantly different (P < 0.05). The average distance of condylar displacement for the OTC, custom and novel MG were 1.9 mm, 1.3 mm and 0.6 mm, respectively. Conclusions: The novel MG was significantly different (P < 0.05). The data from this preliminary investigation suggests that the novel mouthguard had maximized occlusal contacts, minimized vertical dimension change and condylar displacement as compared to OTC and custom MGs.

Keywords: Occlusion, oral appliance, vertical dimension

#### Introduction

Mouthguards (MGs) remain an important piece of personal protection for athletes for the prevention of injury.[1] MGs are recommended for 29 sport activities by the American Dental Association<sup>[2]</sup> and mandatory by the National Collegiate Athletic Association for ice hockey, field hockey, and lacrosse.[3] The function of the MG is to provide a shock absorbing layer with the purpose of reducing the stress of an impact and subsequent injury to the teeth, soft tissues, maxilla, mandible, and temporomandibular joint complex.[2] MGs are available as either an over-the-counter product (OTC), where consumers are expected to approach it with a do-it-yourself boil and bite process or through a dentist, where a mold of the top teeth is taken and a customized laboratory-fabricated intra-oral appliance is delivered.[4]

Although MGs provide tremendous benefits, the design and fabrication process results in several variables,[4] including as follows: material thickness, material type, fit, and retention. These variables can

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

affect athlete communication, breathing, and compliance. [5] In addition, there is no record of the mandible or occlusion. Without respecting the occlusion, there is the potential for unequal forces, increased pressure, pain, temporomandibular disorder, and malocclusion disorders.[6,7] Failure to respect occlusion with scuba mouthpieces has been reported, [8] and MGs incorporate similar materials with parallel concerns. The aim of this study was to develop an alternative MG that maximized occlusal minimized contacts and mandibular positional change.

#### **Methods**

This comparison study employed an anatomical skull model (Kilgore International: Coldwater, Michigan, USA). Nine MGs were employed, three from each category: OTC, dentist-delivered (custom) and novel. The OTC MG was fitted by following the manufacture's guidelines and on the model by warming then molding the MG [Figure 1a]. The custom MG was delivered by obtaining an impression with replication silicone (Counterfeit: Clinician's Choice: London, Ontario, Canada) of the maxilla and having a laboratory fabricated appliance (Shaw Labs: London, Ontario,

How to cite this article: Kalman L. Preliminary investigation of a novel mouthguard. Int J Prev Med 2018;9:60.

#### Les Kalman

Department of Restorative Dentistry, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario N6A-3K7, Canada

Address for correspondence: Dr. Les Kalman, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, 1151 Richmond Street, London, Ontario N6A-3K7, Canada, E-mail: ljkalman@icloud.com

## Access this article online

## Website:

www.ijpvmjournal.net/www.ijpm.ir DOI:

10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM 376 17

**Quick Response Code:** 



Kalman: Novel mouthguard investigation



Figure 1: Over the counter (left), custom (middle), and novel (right) mouthguard on anatomical skull model

Canada) in accordance with standard laboratory prescription guidelines at the Schulich dental school [Figure 1b]. The novel appliance required standard records obtained with impressions with replication silicone (Counterfeit: Clinician's Choice, London, Ontario, Canada) of the maxilla and mandible, bite registration (Quick Bite: Clinician's Choice, London, Ontario, Canada) and a facebow (Whip Mix: Louisville, Kentucky, USA) record. The impressions were poured in jade stone (Whip Mix: Louisville, Kentucky, USA) and mounted accordingly. The novel appliance was fabricated through a proprietary process and fitted on the skull [Figure 1c]. Details of the novel MG remain confidential pending intellectual property protection.

The three MGs were assessed on the skull models for the change in vertical dimension measured in millimeters, from the inferior aspect of the MG to the superior aspect of the mandibular incisors, the number of occlusal contacts and the distance of condylar displacement, measured from the inferior aspect of the glenoid fossa to the superior aspect of the head of the temporomandibular joint. The distance was measured with a Mastercraft digital caliper (Canadian Tire: Toronto, Ontario, Canada) and the occlusal contacts were marked with horseshoe articulating paper and then visually counted. Data analysis involved the following: values were compared using a one-way ANOVA, statistical significance alpha was set at 95% and Tukey's method distinguished the significant groups.

## Results

Results are displayed in Table 1. The average number of occlusal contacts for the OTC, custom, and novel MG were 2.4, 4.0, and 10, respectively. Values were significantly different (P < 0.05). The average change in vertical dimension for the OTC, custom, and novel MG were 15.3 mm, 9.3 mm, and 8.0 mm, respectively. The novel value was significantly different (P < 0.05). The average distance of condylar displacement for the OTC, custom, and novel MG were 1.9, 1.3, and 0.6, respectively. The novel MG value was significantly different (P < 0.05).

#### Discussion

The occlusal contacts for the OTC MG were the lowest, followed by the custom MG. The novel MG had the highest number of occlusal contacts. Maximizing occlusal

Table 1: Average parameters of over the counter, custom, and novel mouthguards

Parameter	OTC	Custom	Novel
Occlusal contacts	2.4	4.0	10.0
Vertical dimension (mm)	15.3	9.3	8.0
Condylar displacement (mm)	1.9	1.3	0.6

OTC=Over-the-counter

contacts is necessary to increase the dissipation and transmission of force and lessen the chance for injury, [9] especially if a force targets the mandible upward. The OTC MG had the largest change in vertical dimension, followed by the custom MG and then the novel MG. A minimal change in vertical dimension provides a more neutral condylar position and increased stability. [10] The average distance of condylar displacement was the highest for the OTC MG, followed by the custom MG and then the novel MG. A minimal condylar displacement is preferred, [11] as a larger displacement increases the chance for internal injury, [12]

This investigation was limited by a small sample size, an anatomical skull, possible distortion of dental materials and the subjectivity of occlusal assessment. Further research is warranted on a larger sample size with patients, with more objective measurement techniques and on the prevalence of dental problems with long-term MG use.

A novel MG has been developed and assessed on an anatomical skull model. Based on the methodology used and the results obtained, the data suggested that the novel appliance had maximized occlusal contacts, minimized a change in vertical dimension and condylar displacement as compared to OTC and custom MGs. The novel appliance has been developed, with a unique approach to design and fabrication, addressing the shortcomings of OTC and dentist-delivered MGs. As the necessity for personalized medicine and dentistry increases, the importance of occlusally driven mouthguards will play an increased role in injury prevention.

## Acknowledgements

Research was supported by the Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry Summer Research Studentship. Additional support was provided by Research Driven and Clinician's Choice. Thanks to Holly Javidnia, who assisted with the methods and Sarah McPherson, who assisted with

Kalman: Novel mouthguard investigation

editing. The conducted methods and obtained results were supervised by the author. Recommendations and opinions are entirely those of the author.

## Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

## **Conflicts of interest**

Les Kalman is the developer of the novel mouthguard.

Received: 01 Sep 17 Accepted: 08 Oct 17

## Published: 06 Jul 18

References

- 1. Saini R. Sports dentistry. Natl J Maxillofac Surg 2011;2:129-31.
- Canadian Dental Hygienists Association position paper on sports mouthguards. Putting more bite into injury prevention. CJDH 2005;39:1-18.
- Maestrello CL, Mourino AP, Farrington FH. Dentists' attitudes towards mouthguard protection. Pediatr Dent 1999;21:340-6.
- Mantri SS, Mantri SP, Deogade S, Bhasin AS. Intra-oral mouth-guard in sport related oro-facial injuries: Prevention is

- better than cure! J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8:299-302.
- National Federation of State High School Associations. Position Statement and Recommendations for Mouthguards Use in Sports; 2011.
- Chokalingam S, Felicita AS. Malocclusion and TMJ disease A review of literature. IOSR JDMS 2014;13:71-3.
- Cruz CL, Lee KC, Park JH, Zavras AI. Malocclusion characteristics as risk factors for temporomandibular disorders: Lessons learned from a meta-analysis. J Oral Dis 2015;1-11.
- Ranna V, Malmstrom H, Yunker M, Feng C, Gajendra S. Prevalence of dental problems in recreational SCUBA divers: A pilot survey. Br Dent J 2016;221:577-81.
- Chapman PJ. Mouthguards and the role of sporting team dentists. Aust Dent J 1989;34:36-43.
- Watted N, Bill J, Blanc O, Schlomi B. Orthodontic surgery and aesthetics. Cosmet Dent 2009;2:6-10.
- Takeda T, Ishigami K, Hoshina S, Ogawa T, Handa J, Nakajima K, et al. Can mouthguards prevent mandibular bone fractures and concussions? A laboratory study with an artificial skull model. Dent Traumatol 2005;21:134-40.
- Walilko T, Bir C, Godwin W, King A. Relationship between temporomandibular joint dynamics and mouthguards: Feasibility of a test method. Dent Traumatol 2004;20:255-60.

