Interventions for Physician Burnout: A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews

Abstract
Occupational burnout is a common syndrome among physicians, and several individual-directed and organization-directed interventions have been implemented to reduce it. Until now, several review studies have tried to identify and introduce the most appropriate interventions. The aim of this article was to systematically review systematic review studies of interventions for physician burnout to evaluate and summarize their results, and ultimately guide researchers to select appropriate interventions. A search was conducted to find review studies and systematic reviews in Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Medline, Google Scholar, PubMed, and PsycINFO. Two reviewers independently selected and evaluated the studies based on inclusion criteria. Four of seven obtained review studies and systematic reviews met the inclusion criteria. These studies have reviewed individual-directed and organization-directed interventions intended to reduce burnout among medical students, interns, physicians, residents, and fellows. Various studies of the effectiveness of individual- and organization-directed interventions have obtained different results. This research has shown that reaching conclusions about effective interventions (individual- or organization-directed) for physician burnout is not easy and that a number of mediating or moderating variables probably influence the effectiveness of these interventions. Therefore, it is necessary to understand approaches and interventions for the prevention or reduction of physician burnout to fill the gaps in research. In addition, review studies are required to be more precise in choosing their criteria to find more accurate results.
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Introduction
Numerous studies have shown that burnout is quite common among medical students and physicians around the world.1-6 In February 2003, the European Forum of Medical Associations and the World Health Organization issued statements on the burnout syndrome among physicians. The statement expressed serious concern about burnout levels and called on all national medical associations to pay attention to the problem.7 Occupational burnout is a series of unpleasant work-related symptoms, including emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and decreased sense of personal accomplishment.8 Emotional exhaustion is the main dimension of occupational burnout. It refers to mental and physical fatigue, feeling mentally depleted, lack of energy to complete tasks, and the feeling of not being able to renew lost energy.9 Depersonalization follows extreme emotional exhaustion. It is characterized by a cynical, inconsiderate treatment of others as objects.9 Depersonalization may initially have a self-protection function because it allows physicians to isolate themselves from the emotional stress of work and thus provides an emotional shield against workplace problems. However, this process may result in a gradual loss of noble (positive and altruistic) traits, which might have a negative impact on performance and quality of professional services.10,11 Decreased personal accomplishment is one of the components of burnout self-evaluation. It refers to a decreased sense of personal competence and self-efficacy.12 Self-efficacy refers to a physician’s beliefs and judgments about his or her ability to carry out professional duties. Therefore, the third component of burnout includes a negative evaluation by physicians of their own merits, competence, and ability to treat and help patients.10 Burnout is conceptually distinct from other mood disorders and can be placed between stress and depression.12,13 Burnout results
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from chronic stress and can lead to depression. Burnout and depression are correlated but distinct concepts. Depression is not context-dependent, whereas burnout is a work-related phenomenon. In other words, in depression, negative thoughts and feelings are not limited to work. Depressed individuals always feel a lack of energy, not just when they are at work, whereas a burned-out person experiences such feelings on the job and may be happy in other areas of life. Stress is not a disorder in itself, whereas burnout is regarded as such. Burnout is associated with reduced excitement, reduced involvement in work, and feelings of hopelessness and helplessness, whereas stress at work is characterized by intensity of emotional reactions, overengagement in work, feelings of urgency, and hyperactivity.

Burnout has major negative consequences for physicians, patients, and healthcare systems. Among physicians, this syndrome is associated with lower job satisfaction, disruption of work and personal relationships, drug abuse, reduction in the quality of patient care, turnover, depression, and even suicide. The most important causes of physician burnout include chronic occupational stress, excessive workloads, lack of balance between work and family life, and imbalance between job demand and job control. Job demands refer to stress-inducing factors in the work environment such as time pressure, conflicting requirements of different tasks, the pace of work, workload, and backlog. Job control refers to skills and independence in decision-making about how to perform and time various tasks, and the ability to influence colleagues. Demand-control imbalance occurs when a person has to meet all the job demands while having no control over workload, schedules, and decision-making about how to meet the requirements.

The importance of these consequences has led to increased attention to mental health in physicians and requests to prevent or reduce burnout among them. Two general approaches can be distinguished in interventions for physician burnout: interventions directed at individuals and interventions directed at organizations. Individual-directed interventions typically include mindfulness techniques, cognitive behavioral therapy, improved communication skills, and stress coping strategies. Organization-directed interventions usually involve simple changes in scheduling, reducing workload or even bigger changes such as improving teamwork, changes in professional assessment, monitoring reductions in job demand, increases in job control, and increased participation in decision-making.

Until now, numerous studies have been conducted on the effectiveness of individual- and organization-directed interventions to reduce burnout among groups of physicians (medical students, interns, residents, physicians, and fellows). Other researchers have conducted systematic reviews and reviews to find more valid and comprehensive results about the effectiveness of individual- and organization-directed interventions to indicate which interventions are more appropriate or which of the two groups of individual- or organization-directed interventions are more effective. But these reviews have had inconsistent results and can be confusing. Therefore, the aim of this article was to systematically review systematic review studies of interventions for physician burnout to evaluate and summarize their results, and ultimately guide the researchers to select appropriate interventions.

Methods

This review is based on the collection of review studies and systematic reviews of the literature on interventions to reduce burnout in groups of physicians (medical students, interns, residents, physicians). Both individual- and organization-directed interventions have been considered. Since most existing review studies and systematic reviews dated back to at least three years ago, there was no need to set a time limit.

Primary sources and search strategies

The search for review studies and systematic reviews on interventions for physician burnout was conducted in the following databases and using the keywords as listed in Table 1.

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were selected according to the sources of systematic review:

1) Study type: review studies and systematic reviews that included randomized controlled trial (RCT) plans, observational studies, case–control or other controlled or uncontrolled quasi-experimental studies, pretest–posttest designs, and longitudinal cohort studies with intervention aimed at reducing burnout or symptoms overlapping with the concept of occupational burnout. The comparison groups included usual care, without intervention or with other interventions for burnout.

2) The range of results: review studies and systematic reviews in which burnout, its consequences, or at least one of its main symptoms (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, reduced personal success) has been measured.

Table 1: Databases and keywords

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Database</td>
<td>Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Systematic</td>
<td>In combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline</td>
<td>Burnout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Scholar</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycINFO</td>
<td>Reduce burnout</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Intervention type: review studies and systematic reviews that included research in which individual- and organization-directed interventions to reduce burnout have been studied
4) Study population: review studies and systematic reviews that studied medical students, interns, physicians, and assistants
5) Review studies and systematic reviews published in full text.

Exclusion criteria
1) Review research with no predefined criteria to assess the quality of methodology
2) Theoretical, educational, and narrative reviews
3) Review studies and systematic reviews in which the research population was mostly limited to healthcare workers or at least 70% of the population were not physicians and medical students. The logic behind this restriction is that physicians experience different stressors in their academic and professional lives compared with nurses, midwives, and other healthcare workers.

Study selection
Two of the authors (reviewers) obtained seven review and systematic review studies of interventions for physician burnout by searching the databases.[12,29-34] Two systematic review studies[12,32] and two systematic review studies with meta-analysis[30,31] met the inclusion criteria. Then, the quality of the selected studies was independently assessed using AMSTAR. In his article “Methodology in conducting a systematic review of systematic reviews of healthcare interventions,” Smith[36] has recommended the AMSTAR tool,[37] whose validity and reliability have been confirmed, for the assessment of multiple systematic reviews.[38,39] This tool assesses items such as the definition of the research question, the determination of the inclusion criteria before the review study, data extraction by at least two independent individuals, comprehensive theoretical background through search in at least two databases, determination of keywords, the presentation of details about excluded/included studies and features of studies, assessment of the quality of the included research and analysis of its data, homogeneity assessment, publication bias assessment, and the indication of any conflict of interest in research selection. All disagreements between the evaluators were addressed and resolved. Table 2 summarizes the features of the selected articles.

Data extraction
Four systematic reviews were selected based on entry criteria. The most important information we wanted were objectives, questions, and outcomes of the selected systematic reviews. To do this, we extracted all these and reported in the results section. In addition, to better understand these systematic reviews, we extracted features about the interventions reviewed in them. These features include time range, number of studies reviewed, research design of studies reviewed, study population, and interventions.

Analysis
No statistical analyses or meta-analyses were conducted. Instead, the existing results and conclusions presented in the reviews were extracted and reported in a systematic format.

Results
By searching in databases, seven reviews were obtained, four of which met inclusion criteria [Figure 1]. Three studies addressed a combination of individual- and organization-directed interventions,[22,30,31] while one study examined individual-directed interventions only.[32] Each of the four review studies had a particular data domain. McCray et al.[32] have reviewed articles published between 1986 and 2006, while Williams et al.[12] have reviewed articles published between 2003 and 2011, as well as an article published in 1991. West et al.[31] have studied articles published between 2003 and 2015, as well as an article published in 1986. Finally, Panagioti has reviewed articles published between 2005 and 2016.[30]

Table 2 shows that review studies conducted on interventions to reduce physician burnout have progressively had more precise and stricter inclusion criteria such as accuracy in selecting research with controlled trials. The first review study conducted in 2008 has pointed to the lack of sufficient research, especially of controlled trials, on interventions for physician burnout. However, it has failed to reach a conclusion as to whether the interventions implemented so far have been effective.

![Figure 1: Study flow diagram](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and review year</th>
<th>Type of review (time range)</th>
<th>Research aim or question</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Number of studies reviewed</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Research design of studies reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McCray et al., 2008[32]</td>
<td>Systematic review (1986-2006)</td>
<td>Describes the quality and outcome of the interventions designed to decrease physician burnout</td>
<td>Teams workshops led by psychotherapist and psychiatric resident (I) Doctor’s support group (I) Program in personal and professional development for interns (I) Day-long workshop (I) ROM breathing exercise (I) Self-care intervention (I) RAP (I) Stress management intervention Mindfulness-based stress reduction program (I)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Residents and nurses</td>
<td>Longitudinal cohort Pre-post Nonrandomized, controlled Randomized controlled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al., 2015[12]</td>
<td>Systematic review 2003-2011 and one article 1991</td>
<td>Summarizes the efficacy data of physician burnout interventions</td>
<td>Communication and stress management training (I) 10-week mind-body medicine elective (I) Balint training (I) Bivariate self-development groups (I) Stress management workshop (I) BATHE stress therapy training (I) ROM (I) 10-week mindfulness-based stress reduction program (I) Journaling (I) Burnout intervention (I) Guided mindfulness technique (I) Pass-fail grading (O) ACGME duty standards (O) Mindfulness-based stress reduction program (I) Contemplation-meditation exercises such as Mindfulness meditation (I) Communication skills training (I) Intensive face-to-face workshop (I) Debriefing sessions and a focus group (I) Interactive teaching (I) Self-care workshops coordinated by mental health professionals (I)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Medical students Orthopedic surgery residents Medical oncology residents OB/Gyn residents Surgical residents Internal medicine residents Surgical residents Pediatric residents Family medicine residents Surgical specialties Attending physician</td>
<td>Randomized controlled Nonrandomized controlled Nonrandomized uncontrolled Longitudinal randomized controlled Longitudinal nonrandomized uncontrolled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panagioti et al., 2016[30]</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis (2005-2016)</td>
<td>Evaluate the effectiveness of interventions to reduce physician burnout and investigating relationship between different types of interventions (individual- or organization-directed interventions),</td>
<td>Mindfulness-based stress reduction program (I) Contemplation-meditation exercises such as Mindfulness meditation (I) Communication skills training (I) Intensive face-to-face workshop (I) Debriefing sessions and a focus group (I) Interactive teaching (I) Self-care workshops coordinated by mental health professionals (I)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Internist board certified in critical care medicine Internal medicine residents and an ICU fellow Oncology residents Oncologists Internists Anesthesiologists Surgeons Junior doctor</td>
<td>RCT Controlled before-after study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In their review, Williams et al. have found that we cannot determine whether individual-directed interventions are more effective than organization-directed interventions. They maintain that the classification of interventions into individual- and organization-directed simplifies the results, which should be interpreted with caution. In their study, Williams et al. have examined 12 individual-directed interventions and two organization-directed interventions. They found that in addition to one organization-directed intervention (pass–fail grading system), two individual-directed interventions, namely self-development groups and the Respiratory One Method for relaxation, were also effective in reducing physician burnout.

However, mindfulness training interventions and limiting working time for residents produced conflicting results. Stress management training, Balint groups, journaling, communication skills, and BATHE technique training were either not useful or had limited usefulness. Williams et al. have noted that many studies had small sample sizes that could affect results. However, their review study has limitations including lack of manual search, mere use of databases, definition of burnout and its overlap with common tension, and severe mood disorders.

In their review study with meta-analysis, West has limited his meta-analysis to research with controlled design and RCT. This approach can lend more credibility to his findings. west et al., 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and review year</th>
<th>Type of review (time range)</th>
<th>Research aim or question</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Number of studies reviewed</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Research design of studies reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West et al., 2016[31]</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis (2003-2015 and one article 1998)</td>
<td>Understanding the quality and outcomes of the interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout</td>
<td>Mindfulness-based approaches (I)</td>
<td>52 Internal medicine residents</td>
<td>RCT Cohort studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stress management training (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Small group curricula (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitated small group curricula (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Self-care training (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Communication skills training (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>So-called belonging intervention (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Duty-hour requirements (O)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Locally developed modifications to clinical work processes (O)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I=Individual-directed intervention, O=Organization-directed intervention, ROM=Respiratory One Method, RAP=Residency assistance program, RCT=Randomized controlled trial, ICU=Intensive care unit, BATHE = Background affect troubles handling empathetic psychotherapeutic technique, ACGME = Accreditation council for graduate medical education
conclusions. Although he has reported that both types of intervention can be effective in significantly reducing burnout, he has not stated which type of intervention he regards as more effective.\[31\]

The next review study, published along with meta-analysis in December 2016, has demonstrated that individual-directed interventions have limited effectiveness in reducing burnout, whereas organization-directed interventions are more effective in reducing physician burnout.\[30\] The study has also demonstrated that this difference is due to healthcare systems rather than the physicians themselves. This review study only includes research involving RCT design and cohort studies in its review and meta-analysis, and most of the included studies have conducted a follow-up (from 2 weeks to 16 months). This review study has concluded that the effectiveness of interventions has been moderate and significant for experienced physicians (with over 5 years of experience), and low and significant for less experienced physicians (with less than 5 years of experience). The implemented interventions have been more effective for physicians working in primary care centers compared with physicians working in secondary care settings.\[30\]

**Discussion**

Burnout interventions may be useful for students and professors in the medical field. Research evidence shows that numerous interventions have been implemented to relieve physicians' burnout syndrome. In addition, several review studies have investigated the results of some of these interventions. But these reviews have had inconsistent results. This article systematically reviewed systematic review studies of interventions for physician burnout to evaluate and summarize their results, and ultimately guide the researchers to select appropriate interventions.

As expected, the results were complex. If we ignore McCray’s study\[32\] because of its less recent scope and nonexperimental design, studies 28, 29, and 12 would not be very consistent. West considers both individual- and organization-directed interventions to be effective;\[31\] Panagioti regards organization-directed interventions as more effective and necessary\[30\] while Williams et al. do not affirm the effectiveness of some individual- and organization-directed interventions.\[12\]

As Table 2 shows, review studies of interventions to reduce burnout in physicians have gradually emphasized the selection of research with controlled trials to increase the accuracy of their findings. However, although two studies by Panagioti and West had selected “controlled trials” as one of their inclusion criteria, they did not obtain similar results. Therefore, it seems that there are still other factors explaining the conflicting results, as stated below, in addition to differences in research design.

In all the review studies conducted on interventions to reduce physician burnout, samples include a variety of different groups of physicians (medical students, general practitioners, physician residents, specialists, fellows, and even attending physicians). In fact, these reviews have studied the effectiveness of interventions for all these groups together. However, they are not homogeneous populations, have different plans, functions, and responsibilities and experience different levels of burnout. For example, several studies have demonstrated that physician residents are more susceptible to occupational burnout because of the nature and requirements of their residency.\[40\] Therefore, they might require different interventions. In other words, although a single intervention might be effective for one group but not effective for another, all the reviews included in this research have analyzed interventions for various samples of physicians collectively. Therefore, part of the difference in the results of review studies conducted on the effectiveness of individual- and organization-directed interventions might be due to treating different groups of physicians equally and integrating their results.

Studies have shown that physicians are not equally susceptible to burnout and factors such as age, experience, specialization, gender, and marital status influence the risk of physician burnout.\[40\] Therefore, we cannot expect all physicians to benefit equally from burnout interventions and their individual differences probably determine the extent to which such interventions would help them. In their systematic review, Williams et al. have investigated 19 studies on physician burnout interventions including 11 individual- and two organization-directed interventions. Their research does not reach definitive conclusions as to which type of intervention is more effective. In fact, their systematic review study does not confirm the effectiveness of some individual- and organization-directed interventions.\[12\] As Williams et al. have noted, the results should be interpreted with caution. They have pointed to factors that might play a mediating or moderating role in the effectiveness of interventions. For example, because of differences in specialization, workplace location, and work and study schedules, some interventions for burnout might be effective for some physicians but not for others. In his results analysis, Panagioti has considered two moderating variables: work experience and the type of healthcare system. He has found that the effectiveness of interventions is moderate and significant for experienced physicians (with over 5 years of experience), while for less experienced physicians (with less than 5 years of experience), the effectiveness of interventions is low and significant. In addition, interventions for physicians working in primary care centers have been more effective than interventions implemented for physicians working in secondary care centers.\[30\] The type of target population, mentioned in the previous section, can be a moderating variable itself. We have discussed the subject separately because of its importance. The conflicting results of the three review studies can be somewhat explained according to what was
discussed. In fact, these conflicting results do not imply that the findings of the studies are false. Rather, these reviews have included studies that have neglected mediating and moderating variables existing in the interventions they have included in their research. This explains why individual- or organization-directed interventions have been described as effective by one study and not effective by another one. Williams et al.’s study seems to be more conscious of these variables and has stated that reaching a conclusion about the effectiveness of burnout interventions is more complicated than their classification into individual- and organization-directed groups suggests.

Most of the studies included in reviews 12, 30, and 31 have a limited sample size, which can influence their power of test. All the discussed review studies have investigated research with a limited sample size along with research with an appropriate sample size, which has led to generalized results.

In addition, part of the conflicting results may be due to a publication bias favoring effective interventions. As we discussed, only Williams et al. have included studies whose interventions have been reported as ineffective. Other review studies have ignored such interventions. Therefore, some individual- and organization-directed interventions might have been ignored by some review studies, a bias that might have influenced their results.

**Conclusion**

Although the review studies examined in this research have not included the same interventions, the results of this review indicate the need for further research on effective interventions to reduce physician burnout.

In fact, this research has shown that reaching conclusions about effective interventions for physician burnout is not easy and that a number of mediating and moderating variables probably influence the effectiveness of these interventions. This finding has implications for researchers investigating the effectiveness of interventions for physician burnout reduction as well as researchers who intend to review such interventions. The authors suggest that researchers consider moderating and mediating variables when evaluating interventions for reducing physician burnout. In this way, we might be able to determine which intervention is effective for a particular group of individuals. As an example, several studies have shown that there is a correlation between certain personality traits, such as extroversion and neuroticism, and occupational burnout[41-47] and that these personality traits affect the degree to which different individuals will benefit from treatments for a variety of psychological disorders and distress.[48,49] Therefore, if researchers consider these personality traits as a moderating variable for the effectiveness of interventions, they can obtain results that are more accurate. Such results reduce the confusion of overview studies, as demonstrated by the studies included in this research, and help researchers conducting studies on physician burnout reduction in selecting effective interventions.

The authors also recommend that to the extent possible, reviewers consider moderating variables as well when defining their inclusion criteria. For example, if a review only studies interventions for burnout among physician residents, it can obtain more accurate results about the effectiveness of individual-directed interventions compared with organization-directed interventions.

**Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

**Received:** 27 May 18 **Accepted:** 13 Aug 18  
**Published:** 17 Sep 18

**References**

15. Bakker AB, Schaufeli WB, Demerouti E, Janssen PP, Van Der Hulst R, Brouwer J. Using equity theory to examine the difference between burnout and depression. Anxiety Stress...


50. Schueller SM. Personality fit and positive interventions: Extraverted and introverted individuals benefit from different happiness increasing strategies. Psychology 2012;3:1166-73.