Continuous Movement Monitoring of Daily Living Activities for Prevention of Diabetic Foot Ulcer: A Review of Literature

Abstract

Lower extremity ulcers represent the most ominous, feared, and costly complications of diabetes mellitus. The aim of this review is to highlight the role of daily life physical activities (PAs) and continuous movement monitoring (CMM) in the prevention of foot ulcers. Peripheral neuropathy and peripheral vascular disease are the main causes of foot ulceration and contribute, in turn, to the development of additional risk factors such as foot deformities and/or joint and muscular alterations. Moreover, a deficit of balance, posture abnormalities, followed by gait alterations, increases the risk of ulceration. PA can play a key role in the management of patients with diabetes and in the prevention of ulcers; however, even if it has been reported that some of these risk factors significantly improve after a few weeks of exercise therapy (ET), the real preventive role of ET has not yet been demonstrated. These uncertain results can occur due to some limitations in the management of the same relationship between PA and diabetic foot prevention. Technological advances during the last years enable timely management of overall daily PA. The use of these modern technologies and devices allows CMM assessment and description of daily PA even in the long term. The data collected from these devices can be used to properly manage patients’ PA and thus contribute to the prevention of foot ulcers.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder which is drastically increasing, making it one of the most important public health problems around the globe. Recent studies have found that the incidence of DM is increasing faster than in the past, and it is estimated that by 2035, almost 592 million people will be affected worldwide.[1]

Of the long-term complications that can affect DM patients, diabetic foot ulcers are most ominous and feared because the ulcers affect not only the patients’ mobility and their overall well-being but can also increase morbidity and mortality in those with both type 1 and type 2 DM.[2-4]

The yearly incidence of diabetic foot ulcer in the DM population is around 4% in developed countries and even higher in developing countries where the lifetime risk of a patient to develop a foot ulcer rises to about 25%.[2,5]

The severity of this phenomenon is also accentuated by the fact that only two-thirds of foot ulcers will ever heal. In addition, about one-third of patients with a recent history (1 year) of foot ulcer or amputation have a high risk of recurrence.[5,6] Consequently, every 20 s, there is an amputation caused by diabetes somewhere in the world.[5]

The complexity of the multifactorial pathogenesis of diabetic foot ulcer makes patients difficult to treat.[5-7] The timely consideration of all this is important also in guaranteeing a proper treatment through physical activity (PA).

In this sense, since many years, several studies suggested that PA can concur in the prevention of diabetic foot by the treatment of its major risk factors.[6-12] In this article, “PA” means body movement generated by muscle contraction, whereas “exercise” means PA aimed at improving fitness or functional/motor deficits. Unstructured PA means “nonexercise” or daily living activities.[8,11] Both structured and unstructured PA can be performed in an adapted and scheduled way to prevent diabetic foot.
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During the 20th century, and especially starting from the end of 1970s, the importance of investigating the qualitative or quantitative aspects of human movement for the prevention of foot ulcers has aroused more attention. The early studies were focused on analyzing foot plantar pressures and gait quality.\(^\text{14,15}\) The study of such parameters was possible thanks to advances in technology and the availability of new devices for the evaluation of the patient’s movement and functional alterations (i.e., gait biomechanics–foot plantar pressure in static-dynamic conditions).\(^\text{16-18}\) Subsequently, the assessment of daily life PA performed by patients with and without risk of developing diabetic foot ulcer has been carried out with different methods (questionnaires, pedometer, and accelerometer) [Table 1].\(^\text{19-26}\)

Nowadays, the use of even more advanced sensors, digital devices (sometimes similar to wristwatches), and their software allows a better patient’s PA assessment and description along with continuous movement monitoring (CMM). CMM has been carried out with different devices, following different protocols, and provides targeted intervention at several levels in the process, leading to the development of foot ulcers in diabetic patients [Figure 1 and Table 1].\(^\text{25-26,29}\) The set of information collected from gait analysis and CMM allows a level of management of patients’ PA not possible in the past.

The aim of this review is to highlight the role of the proper monitoring and management of structured and unstructured PAs as important methods of prevention against ulcers.

For this review, we searched the current medical literature through PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane library databases. The topics searched were diabetic foot prevention, PA monitoring, exercise training, adapted PA published in English.

**Physical Activity and Diabetic Foot Prevention**

Diabetic foot prevention begins with proper care of the patient at the time of diagnosis through treatment aimed at achieving good metabolic control [Figure 1]. This treatment involves patient education sessions on the role and importance of an active lifestyle.\(^\text{8,37}\) However, as well as nutrition, even PA can induce considerable variations in glycemic levels of patients with diabetes. This effect can limit metabolic control and become a barrier to exercise, especially in patients with type 1 diabetes (PA as risk factor for glycemic control).\(^\text{32,38}\) As a result, the management of a patient’s PA is also to improve blood glucose control in addition to a better peripheral insulin action and the maintenance of a good body mass index.\(^\text{8,37}\)

CMM means that patients with diabetes have to be informed on PA performed and it provides indications on what has to be performed on the basis of data collected during the long-term monitoring.\(^\text{8,38}\) As a result, patients are more aware of managing appropriate food–liquid intake and/or drug therapy to achieve good metabolic control.\(^\text{13,26,38}\) It has also been suggested that the evaluation of PA performed between main meals, in addition to that during 24 h, can enable patients to better orient themselves in their choices regarding glycemic management.\(^\text{39}\)

It is important to consider that vigorous or prolonged PA can have significant acute effects on glycemic fluctuations that can be difficult to manage.\(^\text{13,37,38,40}\) All this hinders glycemic control.

In comparison to structured PA, daily life movement can usually be performed at light or moderate intensity so as not to excessively modify blood glucose values.\(^\text{38,41}\)

The improvement in glycemic control over time can be attained with CMM, providing knowledge of type, duration, intensity, and distribution of the activity performed. Each of these parameters can be set up or modified to achieve proper glycemia.\(^\text{38,41}\)

**Exercise Therapy and Diabetic Foot Prevention**

Even if movement and especially gait are a key element of therapy for diabetic patients, it is important to consider that PA is, at the same time, stressful for feet and can cause foot lesions. For this, PA should be accurately assessed, monitored, and managed.

The early studies of this paradoxical “risk factor” (PA) focused on analyzing foot plantar pressures and gait quality and providing new information on gait and foot rollover alterations that patients with diabetes can show.\(^\text{16-18,42,43}\)

According to these results, during the last 20 years, research studies were aimed at verifying diabetic patients’ response to PA protocols [Table 2]. These studies demonstrated that targeted exercise therapy (ET) protocols can improve glycemic control, muscle strength, joint mobility, flexibility, and balance, in addition to gait abnormalities (gait speed and walking distance).\(^\text{12,43-45,49-52,56,58,59}\)

These studies have thus provided preliminary information of positive effects on foot rollover and plantar pressure distribution.\(^\text{43,46}\)

However, the limited use of PA/ET in the prevention of diabetic foot can be due to the lack of evidence on its preventive role. Since the results achieved seem to be temporary meaning that they are lost if the training is interrupted.\(^\text{12,44,60,61}\)

Further factors limiting the regular use of PA in the prevention of foot ulcers are as follows: patients’ vulnerability and limited compliance, difficulty in performing the protocols routinely and for prolonged periods, feelings of tiredness, and fear of hypoglycemia.\(^\text{12,31,32,41,46,62,63}\)

The lack of clear evidence on the preventive role of PA in diabetic foot requires further investigation.
Table 1: Physical activity monitoring for the prevention of diabetic foot ulcer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>General purpose</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Equipment and duration</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong et al.[27] (2001)</td>
<td>To compare the effectiveness of three off-loading modalities to heal neuropathic foot ulcerations</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal study</td>
<td>63 patients with DM and plantar foot ulcers</td>
<td>12 weeks. Pedometer</td>
<td>Patients treated with the total-contact casts were significantly less active than those treated with the half-shoe. There was not a significant difference in activity between patients treated with the total-contact casts and with the removable cast walkers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong et al.[24] (2001)</td>
<td>To evaluate the magnitude and location of patients’ activity level</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal study</td>
<td>20 DM patients at high risk</td>
<td>1 week. Activity monitor</td>
<td>Patients were most active during the late morning and mid-afternoon hours. At home, the patients used the physician-approved shoes less than outside the home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maluf and Mueller[19] (2003)</td>
<td>To compare the amount of weight-bearing activity and estimates of cumulative plantar tissue stress</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study with matched groups</td>
<td>20 patients with DPN with and without a history of foot ulcer, 10 nondiabetic control subjects</td>
<td>1 week. Two-dimensional accelerometers and in-shoe pressure measurement</td>
<td>Patients with diabetes and a history of previous ulcers may be susceptible to plantar tissue injury even at relatively low levels of cumulative tissue stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemaster et al.[23] (2003)</td>
<td>To determine whether weight-bearing activity increased the risk of foot ulcer</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal cohort study</td>
<td>400 patients with DM and a prior history of foot ulcer</td>
<td>2 years. 24-h activity questionnaire</td>
<td>Increased weight-bearing activity did not increase the risk of foot ulceration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong et al.[23] (2004)</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of activity in the development of neuropathic foot ulceration</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal study</td>
<td>100 DM patients at high risk</td>
<td>&gt;25 weeks (or until ulceration). Accelerometer/ pedometer</td>
<td>Individuals with diabetes who develop ulceration may actually have a lower overall daily activity than their nonulcerated counterparts, but the quality of that activity may be more variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanade et al.[29] (2006)</td>
<td>To explore plantar loading of the surviving foot following unilateral transtibial amputation</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study with matched groups</td>
<td>21 patients with DPN and transtibial amputation; 21 patients with DPN without history of ulceration</td>
<td>8 consecutive days. Step watch activity monitors and in-shoe pressure measurement system</td>
<td>Adaptations in gait and level of walking activity affect the plantar pressure distribution and ultimately the potential risk of ulceration to the surviving foot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Najafi et al.[26] (2010)</td>
<td>To monitor spontaneous daily PA and examine both walking and standing activities</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal study</td>
<td>13 patients with DPN</td>
<td>2 days. Body-worn sensor</td>
<td>Patients with DPN spent 13.5% of time in standing and 6.1% in walking. Walking may cover as little of a person’s daily PA and hence might not be representative of what the subject is doing during daily living activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Schie et al.[29] (2011)</td>
<td>To evaluate the validity of the “step activity monitor” for assessing PA and the relation with the self-reported PA</td>
<td>Prospective longitudinal study</td>
<td>24 patients with DPN</td>
<td>2 days. Step activity monitor, step watch 3, and international PA questionnaire</td>
<td>Step activity monitor was shown to be a valid tool to assess PA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>General purpose</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Equipment and duration</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waaijman et al. [30] (2013)</td>
<td>To objectively assess adherence to wearing prescribed custom-made footwear</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>107 DPN patients with a recently healed plantar foot ulcer</td>
<td>7 consecutive days. Temperature-based monitor and ankle-worn activity monitor.</td>
<td>Adherence to wearing custom-made footwear is insufficient, particularly at home where patients exhibit their largest walking activity. This low adherence is a major threat for reulceration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim et al. [31] (2016)</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of an individualized multidisciplinary U-healthcare service combined with exercise monitoring and dietary feedback on glucose control</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>100 patients with type 2 DM assigned to a self-monitored blood glucose group or U-healthcare group</td>
<td>6 months. Glucometer and an activity monitor that automatically transferred test results to a hospital-based server</td>
<td>The HbA₁c level was significantly decreased in the U-healthcare group compared with the self-monitored blood glucose group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazeau et al. [32] (2008)</td>
<td>To determine if there was an inverse relationship between sitting and step counts in a diabetes cohort</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>The cohort included 198 T2DM adults</td>
<td>14 days. Pedometer, international PA questionnaire</td>
<td>There was a low correlation between sitting time and step counts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasanayake et al. [33] (2015)</td>
<td>To develop a method to detect the onset and end of exercise</td>
<td>Research study</td>
<td>16 adults with T1DM</td>
<td>2 days. Diary, accelerometer, heart rate monitor, and continuous glucose monitor</td>
<td>The method identified the onset and end of exercise in approximately 5 min, with an average blood glucose change of only - 6 mg/dL. The presence of an independent relationship between the level of adherence to off-loading devices and the amount of DFU healing that occurs was detected. Neuropathic postural instability was found to be the strongest barrier to off-loading adherence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crews et al. [34] (2016)</td>
<td>To evaluate the role and adherence to off-loading</td>
<td>Prospective, multicenter study</td>
<td>79 patients with T2DM and active foot ulcer</td>
<td>6 weeks. Two concealed activity monitors</td>
<td>For DFU participant’s weight-bearing activity was 188% higher at home than away from home. At risk participants showed similar weight-bearing activity at home as active DFU participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crews et al. [35] (2017)</td>
<td>To assess the feasibility of objectively, synchronously, and continuously monitoring finely detailed PA and its location of occurrence</td>
<td>Pilot study</td>
<td>5 at risk and 5 actively ulcerated patients</td>
<td>3 days. Tri-axial accelerometer and GPS monitors</td>
<td>An intensive lifestyle intervention may be a sustainable, clinically effective approach for people with DPN that improves patients outcomes and can have an immediate impact on patient care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluding et al. [36] (2017)</td>
<td>To determine the impact of an intense lifestyle intervention on neuropathy progression and quality of life</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>140 type 2 DM patients with peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>18 months of supervised exercise training. 7 days of actigraphy based counseling to reduce sedentary behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>General purpose</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Equipment and duration</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jao et al.[36] (2017)</td>
<td>To evaluate the accuracy of 2 PA monitors</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>31 patients with history of foot ulcer</td>
<td>14 weight-bearing and nonweight-bearing activities. Two PA monitors</td>
<td>Between PA monitors, there was an important difference in accuracy of weight-bearing activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM=Diabetes mellitus, DPN=Diabetic peripheral neuropathy, DFU=Diabetic foot ulcer, CMM=Continuous movement monitoring, HbA1c=Hemoglobin A1c, PA=Physical activity, GPS=Global Positioning System

Figure 1: “Three cornerstones” of physical activity management; the possible role of continuous movement monitoring in the prevention of diabetic foot ulcer

Considering these problems in the use of PA, technologies available nowadays are possible solutions. Furthermore, thanks to the wide range of devices providing innumerable applications possibilities, such technologies monitoring daily PA by CMM can allow the definition of the proper treatment through structured or unstructured PA and clarify the preventive role of PA [Table 1].

Physical Activity and Diabetic Foot Risk Factors

Diabetic foot lesions frequently occur in patients who show two or more simultaneous risk factors.[4] More than half of the patients with type 2 DM are affected by diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) that can progressively induce motor dysfunction preceded by sensory deficits.[7,10] The neuromuscular problems (i.e., muscle weakness, reduced endurance, and loss of coordination) that may occur in patients with diabetes can worsen or lead to abnormalities in the biomechanics of the foot and of the whole body, in dynamic as well as in static postures.[42,43,64,65] These impairments can also result in an abnormal foot rollover and plantar pressures, which significantly increase the risk of painless foot ulcer.[7,35,42,43,58,57]

In addition to DPN, peripheral arterial disease plays an important role in the development of foot ulcers and can also negatively affect healing.[2,4,36] The presence of these complications indicates the patients at risk. It has been observed that about 50%–60% of all diabetic foot ulcers are ischemic or neuroischemic.[5] This condition can induce different functional limitations: minor gait speed, reduced walking distance, resting pain, and claudication.[51,61,66]

The presence of foot deformities and the importance of avoiding foot and leg trauma are other major risk factors to be considered in the PA management of diabetic patients.[5,4,7] The same joint mobility of the lower limbs, usually evaluated at the ankle, and foot joints (subtalar and first metatarsophalangeal), can significantly decrease in patients with diabetes,[60,67-70] and this also contributes to the development of foot deformities and gait abnormalities.

Many factors concur to cause the development of structural abnormalities in diabetic patients. The presence of DPN, peripheral vascular disease (PVD), connective tissue alterations, and then deficit of balance, muscles strength, and biomechanics can trigger the development of foot deformities and ulcers due to the stress induced by the PA performed.[69,70]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>General purpose</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Duration and tests</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dijs et al. (2000)</td>
<td>To evaluate if physical therapy may result in improvement in the mobility of the ankle and foot joints</td>
<td>Pilot study</td>
<td>11 patients with DM, LJM, and neuropathy</td>
<td>2 sessions per week up to 20 sessions. Joint mobility was measured at the ankle and foot joints</td>
<td>Physical therapy may be useful in the prevention of plantar ulceration in diabetic patients with LJM and neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson et al. (2001)</td>
<td>To determine the effect of exercise regimen on clinical measures of postural stability and confidence</td>
<td>Prospective, controlled, single-blind study</td>
<td>20 DM patients with DPN</td>
<td>3-week intervention exercise. Unipedal stance time, functional reach, tandem stance time, score on the ABC</td>
<td>A brief, specific exercise regimen improved clinical measures of balance in patients with DPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldsmith et al. (2002)</td>
<td>To determine the effects of home exercise therapy on joint mobility and plantar pressures</td>
<td>Randomized controlled study</td>
<td>19 DM patients</td>
<td>1 month of exercise program. Joint stiffness and peak plantar pressures</td>
<td>An unsupervised range-of-motion exercise program can significantly reduce peak plantar pressures in diabetic patients within a relatively short period of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon et al. (2003)</td>
<td>To determine the nature and duration of mobility and strength benefits associated with strength training intervention</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>31 community-dwelling older adults with DM</td>
<td>24 months of intervention. Strength and mobility</td>
<td>Moderate-intensity resistive-training program can improve mobility and strength for a duration of 24-month intervention in older adults with diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balducci et al. (2006)</td>
<td>To examine the effects of long-term exercise training on the development of DPN</td>
<td>Prospective randomized intervention study</td>
<td>78 patients without DPN. Intervention group (n=31); control group (n=47)</td>
<td>4-year of intervention. Vibration perception threshold, nerve distal latency, nerve conduction velocity, nerve action potential amplitude</td>
<td>Long-term supervised mild aerobic exercise training may modify the natural history of DPN or even delay its onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. (2006)</td>
<td>To evaluate intraepidermal nerve fiber density as a sensitive measure of neuropathy change</td>
<td>Multicenter research study</td>
<td>40 subjects with neuropathy and impaired glucose tolerance</td>
<td>1-year of intervention. 6-min walk test, nerve conduction studies, quantitative sensory testing, quantitative sudomotor axon reflex testing, visual analog pain scale</td>
<td>Diet and exercise counseling for impaired glucose tolerance results in cutaneous reinnervation and improved pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allet et al. (2010)</td>
<td>To evaluate the effect of a specific training program on gait and balance</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>71 patients with DM. Intervention group (n=35); control group (n=36)</td>
<td>12 weeks, twice-weekly exercise program. Performance-oriented mobility assessment, outdoor gait assessment, dynamic balance test, and static balance test evaluating postural control</td>
<td>Specific training can improve gait speed, balance, muscle strength and joint mobility in diabetic patients. Intervention group participants partially lost their treatment benefit in the 6-month follow-up, but their performance level remained superior to that at baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Contd...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>General purpose</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Duration and tests</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morrison et al.[49] (2010)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of balance/strength training on falls risk and posture</td>
<td>Research study</td>
<td>Intervention group (n=16); control group (n=21)</td>
<td>6-week, thrice weekly exercise program. Postural stability and falls risk</td>
<td>Older DM patients had impaired balance, slower reactions and consequently a higher falls-risk than age-matched control subjects. All these variables improved after resistance/balance training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otterman et al.[50] (2011)</td>
<td>To investigate the feasibility and preliminary effectiveness of an exercise program</td>
<td>Research study</td>
<td>20 patients with diabetic complications</td>
<td>12-week, twice weekly. Patient-specific functional scale and muscle strength</td>
<td>The prescribed exercise program (aerobic and resistance exercise) resulted in significant improvements of HbA1c, muscle strength and perceived limitations in functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins et al.[51] (2011)</td>
<td>To determine the efficacy of a home-based walking intervention to improve walking ability and quality of life</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial cross-sectional study</td>
<td>145 patients with diabetes and peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td>6-month home-based walking program. Maximal treadmill walking distance, lower limb function, quality of life, exercise behaviors, depressive symptoms, and self-efficacy</td>
<td>A home-based walking intervention did not improve walking distance but did improve walking speed and quality of life in people with diabetes and peripheral arterial disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al.[52] (2011)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of an exercise program on balance and trunk proprioception</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>38 older DPN. Intervention group (n=19) and control group (n=19)</td>
<td>8 weeks, twice weekly. Multifunction force measuring plate, One-leg standing test, berg balance test, functional reach test, timed up and go test, and trunk repositioning errors</td>
<td>The balance exercise program improved balance and trunk proprioception.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluding et al.[11] (2012)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of an exercise intervention on neuropathic symptoms, nerve function, and cutaneous innervation</td>
<td>Pilot study</td>
<td>17 patients with DPN</td>
<td>10-week. Pain measures, neuropathic symptoms, nerve function measures, intraepidermal nerve fiber density and branching in lower extremities skin biopsies</td>
<td>A supervised aerobic and strengthening exercise program significant reduces pain, neuropathic symptoms, and increases intraepidermal nerve fiber branching from proximal skin biopsy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah KM[53] (2012)</td>
<td>To determine the in-shoe plantar pressures during selected forms of weight-bearing and nonweight-bearing exercises</td>
<td>Randomized control study</td>
<td>15 elderly in patients with DPN</td>
<td>In shoe foot planar pressure, weight-bearing exercises and nonweight-bearing exercises</td>
<td>Nonweight-bearing exercises provide greater reductions in plantar pressures than weight-bearing exercises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melai et al.[54] (2013)</td>
<td>To evaluate whether lower-extremity strength training can reduce plantar pressures</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>94 patients with DPN. Intervention group (n=48), control group (n=46)</td>
<td>24 weeks. Plantar pressure platform</td>
<td>A lower extremity strength training program was not successful in reducing plantar loading of the forefoot for people with DPN.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continuous Movement Monitoring and Major Risk Prevention

Even if PA can be fundamental in the prevention or treatment of major risk factors of the diabetic foot such as limited joint mobility or muscle weakness, the presence of advanced DPN, in addition to PVD, seem cannot be significantly improved by ET and limit the possibility of full and long-lasting prevention or effective recovery from the other major risk factors (i.e., posture and biomechanical gait alterations).

As a result, it is important to begin the management of patients’ PA as early as possible, starting from diabetes diagnosis. If, on the one hand, PA can have limited positive effects on DPN and PVD, on the other hand, their presence can explain the reason why, in individuals at risk, ET can have a limited positive effect on the maintenance of improvements over time.

It is important to underline that all due precautions must be taken into consideration in the management of a patient’s daily PA designed to prevent the occurrence or recovery of ulcerative risk factors. In addition to leg trauma and falls, the disuse/overuse of muscles and connective tissues must be avoided.

The abnormal balance, posture, and gait biomechanics that patients at risk can show, in addition to the presence of foot deformities, may lead to overuse of some lower limb structures (i.e., muscle and connective tissue), while others cannot be involved during daily PA (disuse). In particular, the overuse of the foot and leg structures (i.e., Achilles tendon or plantar fascia) is mostly feared because it can contribute to the development of foot ulcer.

In addition to this, the real effect of the improvement of patients’ functional and motor deficits on their biomechanics and foot plantar pressures distribution is not yet clear; the short term in which they can be achieved can...
be a paradoxical risk for patients, leading to abnormal foot 
stress and overuse condition.19,26,46,71,72

The patients’ functional abilities and their quality of 
movement have to be checked (as well as lifestyle changes) 
so that instructions can be promptly adapted to the new 
needs identified. These evaluations have to be periodically 
repeated according to the patients’ needs.

Once again, the results of postural and gait analysis can provide 
useful information on the management of daily PA. Such 
PA management based on the “three cornerstones” (CMM, 
pot–gait analysis, and muscle strength/joint mobility 
assessments) may ensure that the results achieved by an ET 
program are more long-lasting in patients [Figure 1].

Continuous Movement Monitoring and Foot 
Plantar Pressure

The abnormal distribution and concentration of foot 
plantar pressure are one of the most feared causes of ulcer. 
Therefore, historically, the risk of plantar ulcers has been 
associated with the amount and type of the force exerted 

Consequently, patients who are more active were considered 
to be at higher risk.27,28,30,34

The CMM of daily lifestyle can be of great importance 
for its possible correlation with the total plantar pressure 
exerted on the feet. This estimation on long period foot 
pressures can be nowadays possible considering both the 
results of CMM and patients’ foot pressure in static and 
dynamic conditions evaluated by the use of devices such 
as baropodometry, in-shoe pressure sensors, or instrumental 
walkways with a force platform,[Table 1].19,25,28,34,42

CMM can also highlight potentially harmful lifestyles such as 
those tending to concentrate or increased plantar foot 
shorts up to a dangerous degree and help patients modify 
their daily lifestyle to prevent ulcers.19,20,24,27

However, it is worthy of attention that in the past decade, 
some studies, thanks to CMM, have not fully confirmed 
this correlation.21,23 It has been reported that patients with 
a history of ulceration are less active; one explanation 
being that higher variability in daily PA in less active 
patients could make them at higher risk for ulcers.22,23

These studies seem to suggest that patients’ weakness 
and the possibility of developing foot ulcers are inversely 
associated with the level of daily PA performed.

A further complication of CMM is that also, the time spent 
in standing and sitting positions should be assessed.26,75

The amount of time spent in standing can correspond to a 
double walking activity in patients with DPN.26 Therefore, 
the standing position is potentially dangerous in these 
patients because it could increase the risk of ulcer.22,23,25,26

In this complex picture, CMM evaluation of daily PA can 
detect alarming factors such as a decrease in the daily PA 
performance. The understanding of causal factors of the 
reduced daily PA helps define preventive interventions. 
Similarly, a significant increase/change in PA intensity, 
duration, or distribution has to be carefully considered in 
patients at risk.

Discussion

Currently, it is an important transitional moment for PA in 
the prevention of the diabetic foot. Timely attention should 
be given to the best uses for new PA assessment and 
monitoring devices in diabetes clinical practice.

To date, it has been demonstrated that most of the motor and 
functional deficits in DM patients significantly improve 
after short ET periods and patients can almost achieve the 
level of the healthy control group performance.12,47,56,58

Patients can perform most of these activities as home-based 
exercise programs44,46,51,56 including weight-bearing and/or 
nonweight-bearing exercises according to the therapist’s 
goals and the patient’s needs.12,44,55

However, to date, the role of PA, mostly limited to ET 
in the prevention of foot ulcers, has not yet been fully 
clarified, and in-depth investigation is needed.43,61,74

In this context, the application possibilities of CMM 
open up new important perspectives and allow PA to be 
considered in its entirety.

However, on the one hand, if the 24-h monitoring of 
patients’ PA is now possible through the use of devices 
showing an accuracy not previously achieved,25,33,34,75 on 
the other hand, it shows limitations that seem to hinder 
its application. Studies on CMM-involving patients with 
a history of foot ulcers or at risk have often been carried 
out with different devices providing several possibilities of 
evaluation.

CMM can help to evaluate the amount of daily foot stress, 
PA distribution, intensity, type, and duration and compare it 
to the lifestyle previously maintained.19,28,29,31,75

This approach may also be useful in determining what 
constitutes an unhealthy lifestyle, seasonal changes in 
leisure-time or working hours in addition to the location 
of activities to enhance patients’ management. A full 
comprehension of these parameters requires repeating 
measurements several times a year.48,76

Moreover, with the use of CMM, it can be possible to 
evaluate the effect of different treatment such as the use of 
protective foot devices. Indeed, CMM provides information 
on the amount and total time spent with the footwear and 
in-shoe devices worn.27,30,34

However, the use of devices for CMM evaluations involves 
costs, not only for buying the equipment but also for 
the involvement of specialized personnel and time for 
processing the data collected [Table 3].
Moreover, different devices can provide varying results on the PA monitored. This involves a difficulty in analyzing the results achieved unless the same type of device is always used.\textsuperscript{[36]}

It is important that CMM covers all 24 h in patients at risk. In fact, irregular monitoring can adversely affect the possibility to analyze PA performed since only a few minutes is needed to significantly modify the daily PA evaluation.

Although patients cannot wear a device continuously, they can fill out a log-book or diary to record the activities performed when the device is not worn. Special forms can help the patient to accurately register the activities performed.\textsuperscript{[24,26,33,75]}

Drawbacks to the use of new technology for CMM include the difficulty in assessing, understanding, and managing the lifestyle of patients at risk.

\textbf{Table 3: Pros and cons of continuous movement monitoring}

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Pros} & \textbf{Cons} \\
\hline
Being informed of the lifestyle and daily PA performed\textsuperscript{[23‑26]} & Device availability and limitations\textsuperscript{[62]} \\
Estimate foot plantar pressure and its daily distribution\textsuperscript{[19]} & Time and cost for processing the data collected \\
Monitoring of the effects of the interventions carried out\textsuperscript{[26,29]} & Patient’s compliance\textsuperscript{[18,34,33]} \\
& Willingness to wear the device for enough time and repeat the evaluation several times if necessary \\
Remote patient monitoring\textsuperscript{[27,34]} & Does not change his/her own lifestyle during the monitoring \\
Being aware of seasonal and daily variations of PA level\textsuperscript{[21]} & Fills in a log book to record activities and also those performed without wearing CMM devices \\
Multi-parametric monitoring\textsuperscript{[28,31]} & Synchronizes the devices for remote monitoring \\
Knowing patient’s functional, postural, and movement characteristics before modifying daily lifestyle & \\
Differences in the results achieved by different devices\textsuperscript{[36]} & \\
Limitations in the accurate recognition of each kind of complex movement (small steps, cycling, etc.)\textsuperscript{[26]} & \\
Indirect evaluation of foot plantar pressure & \\
Type of activities not allowing the use of CMM devices (i.e., immersions) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PA=Physical activity, CMM=Continuous movement monitoring

Therefore, to date, also in the case of CMM, the lack of prospective studies on larger sample size of patients at risk does not allow the definition of its real preventive impact. This can explain the currently limited use of such methods, despite their promising start.\textsuperscript{[20,24]}

\textbf{Limitations of the study}

This article provides a narrative review of studies without following the PRISMA guidelines for a transparent and complete reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses.\textsuperscript{[77]} Some studies on movement monitoring in diabetic patients may not have been considered.

\textbf{Conclusions}

Technological advances highlighted the application possibilities of devices for the evaluation and management of PAs. This allowed the proper management of daily life activities, well organized in quantity, intensity, type, and distribution, monitored by new CMM devices, to be a key element in the treatment of patients with diabetes. At the same time, further studies on the role of CMM and PA in the prevention of foot ulcers are needed to translate such type of monitoring into routine clinical practice. Currently, it is strongly recommended to define the role of CMM as a cornerstone also in the prevention of diabetic foot.
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