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Abstract

Background: Drug abuse is one of the most prevalent public health problems around the world and Iran too. Drug abuse is influenced by various psychosocial factors. This study aimed to explain the relationship model of drug abuse based on perceived criticism, mindfulness, and emotion regulation in Isfahan male adolescents. Methods: This was a correlational cross-sectional study. A total of 350 male students were randomly selected from different high schools in Isfahan during the period of 2015–2016 academic year. Four questionnaires including the probability of drug abuse questionnaire, emotion regulation inventory, Mindful Attention Awareness Scale, and perceived criticism scale were employed. Data were analyzed using Chi-square and correlation coefficient, besides; Structural equation modeling was used to model the direct and indirect relationships between variables. In this regard, SPSS and AMOS softwares were used. Results: Out of the whole subjects, 49.7% had score above the median, indicating more likely to be drug abuser. There was a significant correlation between emotional reappraisal ($r = -0.40$), expressive suppression ($r = -0.38$), mindfulness ($r = -0.57$), and criticism ($r = 0.57$) with drug abuse among male adolescents ($P < 0.001$). Moreover, criticism through the emotional self-regulation had indirect effects on drug abuse. Totally 69% of the variance in drug abuse was explained by the study variables. Conclusions: In total, the results of this study revealed that high levels of drug abuse among students can be considered as a crucial issue, regarding the significant effects of psychological factors, adaptive emotion-regulation strategies, as well as school and family-based Psycho-social skills, are recommended.
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Introduction

Undoubtedly, substance abuse is among the major biopsychosocial problems which lots of countries have encountered with. In addition to interpersonal outcomes, substance abuse has been accompanied with crucial physical, psychological, and social effects. In the WHO, 3.3 million people die annually due to drug abuse and alcoholism, an estimated 320,000 of whom included in 15–29 years age group. In Iran, the prevalence of drug abuse among adolescents has been reported in the range of 15.4%–30.2% in different studies.

Psychological factors can play an important role in the development of psychosocial problems such as substance abuse in teenagers. Accordingly, one of the psychological factors affecting smoking tendency is known as perceived stress that can provide conditions for different mental and social problems. Experience of regular criticism from parents or family members during Childhood can cause confusion for an adolescent; moreover, most individuals are afraid of criticism and it is thought that this fear leads to punishment avoidance. Therefore, individuals focus on avoidance strategies to deal with criticisms.

In this respect, perceived stress, induced by parental criticism, is very uncomfortable and irritating; making the person motivated to seek ways for reducing it. External criticism has a significant and positive relation with substance abuse and relapse among drug abusers.

On the other hand, nowadays mindfulness-based approaches for regulating emotions and substance treatment have increasingly become important. The main objective of mindfulness education is to reduce dysfunctional thought and emotion in harmful events, such as substance abuse, through strengthening nonjudgmental thoughts in adolescents, mindfulness has some separate factors that can provide conditions for different mental and social problems.
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that act as elements of consciousness, nonreactive, and description.\textsuperscript{[16]} Studies have shown that mindfulness-based therapies have been successful for the treatment of drug abuse and reduced its prevalence.\textsuperscript{[14,17,18]}

Emotion regulation is a multidimensional construct comprised of a responsible mechanism for the monitoring, recognizing, and mitigating emotional states.\textsuperscript{[19]} Emotional adjustment functioning refers to multiple cognitive and emotional processes that are associated with controlling impulses. These processes are rooted in neuro-biological development that continues throughout adolescence and beyond.\textsuperscript{[20]} Two well-known regulation strategies include emotional reappraisal and expressive suppression, which can lead to decrease or increase emotional responses in arousing situations.\textsuperscript{[21]} Expressive suppression reduces emotion-expressive behavior by seeking ways to control negative emotional experiences.\textsuperscript{[22]} Reappraisal involves the reinterpretation of emotional situations or coping with negative attitudes.\textsuperscript{[23]} Both strategies result in reduced negative affect.

A correlational model can be conducted for examining the effects of cognitive and emotional predisposing factors on drug abuse. Considering the adverse consequences of drug abuse among adolescents, the purpose of this study was to test the relationship model of drug abuse, mindfulness, emotional regulation, and perceived criticism in Isfahan male adolescents using structural modeling.

**Methods**

**Participants and sampling**

In this correlational cross-sectional study, the study population consisted of 15–18-year-old boy students who were studying during the academic year (2015–2016) in high schools in Isfahan. According to Cochran’s formula, 350 adolescents were selected using cluster random sampling. In this regard, two out of six districts area in Isfahan were randomly selected and from each of these two districts, two boy high schools and three classes in each high school were randomly selected and the questionnaires were completed.

**Measures**

**The probability of drug abuse questionnaire**

This 16-item questionnaire was first introduced by Pour Sharifi et al. in 2005. In this questionnaire samples indicate how much they engage in activities regarding drug abuse and smoking in a month ago. This scale consists of 16 items and is rated on four-point scale ranging from 1 (at all) to 4 (always) which has been developed by review of valid sources regarding people’s vulnerability to drug abuse. In this questionnaire, each item represents a risk factor, and more risk factors indicate a higher possibility of drug abuse. This scale has been shown to be reliable and valid in Iranian student samples.\textsuperscript{[24,25]} In this study, the Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.85.

**Mindful Attention Awareness Scale**

This test consists of 15 questions, developed by Carlson and Brown\textsuperscript{[26]} to measure mindful awareness. The items evaluate the mindful awareness in a six-point Likert scale ranging from score 1 for “almost always” to score 6 for “hardly ever.” This provides us a general score on the mindfulness, ranging from 15 to 90 and higher scores reflect greater levels of mindfulness. The reliability of the scale is acceptable (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.87). The construct and criterion validity of the scale is measured and verified in different studies.\textsuperscript{[26,27]} Higher MAAS scores were related to lower symptoms of stress inventory ($r = −0.42; P < 0.001$).

**Emotion regulation inventory**

It is a 10-item self-report questionnaire developed by Gross\textsuperscript{[21]} consisted of two different mechanisms of emotion regulation: cognitive reappraisal (6 items) and expressive suppression (4 items). Subjects were asked to rate how they regulate their emotions by employing a scale which is rated on a seven-point Likert scale, with a higher score reflecting strategies in which individual used more to regulate their emotions.

The cognitive reappraisal measures ones’ tendencies toward emotion regulation through changing thoughts; however, the expressive suppression scale measures the lack of positive and negative emotional expressions. Cronbach’s alpha coefficients for two subscales reappraisal and suppression are 0.79 and 0.73, respectively, and 3-month reliability coefficient is reported 0.69.\textsuperscript{[28]} In a study reported in Iran, psychometric properties of the questionnaire are reported as desirable.\textsuperscript{[29]}

**Perceived criticism inventory**

This six-item questionnaire, developed by Hooley and Teasdale\textsuperscript{[30]} using a Likert scale of 1 (not critical) to 10 (very critical), measures perceived criticism. A test–retest reliability score of 0.75 of the questionnaire during 20 successive weeks in two different samples was obtained. Reliability and validity of the questionnaire has been studied in the Iranian population. Halvaiepour et al.\textsuperscript{[31]} studied the psychometric properties of the scale in Iranian adolescents by employing confirmatory factors analysis method to examine the latent structure of the scale. The results revealed that one-dimensional factor in the model fits the data the best, with significant overall indices of fitness. Moreover, the composite reliability coefficient of scale was 0.63 which indicates a good construct reliability.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS 22.0 and AMOS 18.0 statistical software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-squared and correlation coefficient tests were utilized to compare the qualitative and quantitative variables, besides, structural equation model-path analysis
was constructed to interpret the relationships among various Psychological determinants of drug abuse. The parameters of the model have been estimated using maximum likelihood method.

We used the goodness-of-fit statistic (GFI), the adjusted GFI statistic (AGFI) and the root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA), Chi-square/df and Parsimony Comparative of Fit Index (PCFI) to test the model adequacy. Confidence level was set at 95%. $P$ value is lower than 0.001 ($P < 0.001$) and less than 0.05, was considered to be statistically significant. Finally, structural model was fitted to discover the direct and indirect effects.

**Results**

The total 350 participants were male students, with a mean age of 16.8 years ($±0.64$ years). Regarding the fields of study, the majority of the participants were studying in the technical and vocational major, followed by those who were studying in mathematics–physics, experimental sciences. To report drug abuse among students, the median score of drug abuse (number 42) were employed as a cut-off point; accordingly, students in the above-the-median in the drug abuse questionnaire reassumed to be more likely inclined to drug abuse; out of the whole 350 students, 49.7% had score above median which can indicate more likely to be craved toward drug abuse [Table 1].

As Table 1 shows, among the fields of study, the highest percentage in drug abuse belonged to students studying in technical and vocational. About 61.4% had scores above the median, besides, the lowest percentage in drug abuse devoted to students studying in experimental sciences (36.9). With regard to Chi-square test ($\chi^2 = 16.09, P < 0.05$), it is evident that there is a significant difference between students studying in different disciplines and inclination toward drug abuse.

The Pearson correlation analysis [Table 2] shows that there is a significant inverse relationship between emotion reappraisal ($r = -0.40$), expressive suppression ($r = -0.38$), and mindfulness ($r = -0.57$) with drug abuse among students ($P < 0.01$). Moreover, perceived criticism was positively correlated with drug abuse ($r = 0.57$).

**Model testing**

Figure 1 and Table 3 express the standardized path coefficients of the structural model which determine the direct and indirect relationship between the constructs. The direct effects as shown in Table 3, the standardized regression weight of $-0.20$ ($P < 0.001$) from emotion regulation to drug abuse was observed. The standardized factor loading value between mindfulness and drug abuse was $-0.36$ ($P < 0.001$). The standardized regression weight from Perceived criticism to drug abuse was $0.42$ ($P < 0.001$).

As shown in Table 3, there were two indirect effects in this research model: standardized value from mindfulness to drug abuse was $-0.07$ and standardized value from perceived criticism to drug abuse was $0.30$. The mediating factor of each indirect effect path was emotion regulation. Accordingly, the R-squared coefficient related to drug abuse was 69%. In other words, 69% of variances in drug abuse can be explained by three variables including emotion regulation, mindfulness, and perceived criticism. Moreover, 43% of the variance in emotion regulation was accounted for by mindfulness and perceived criticism. As it is revealed in Table 3, perceived criticism has significant direct and indirect effects on drug abuse among students.

In terms of the model fit, a variety of indices were used to evaluate the model’s overall value. Absolute fit indices demonstrated that this research model was statistically well developed: Chi-square/df of the model was 2.9, GFI Index was 0.83, and RMSEA was 0.05. These results were also supported by incremental and Parsimony fit indices: AGFI Index was 0.84 and PCFI Index was 0.72. As shown in Table 4, GFI and AGFI values exceeding 0.80 and RMSEA values of approximately 0.10 or less indicate adequate fit. For Chi-square/df, values <3 and for PCFI values >0.50 indicate a reasonable fit.

**Discussion**

The study revealed that mindfulness both directly and through emotion regulation can reduce the likelihood of drug use among students. Consistent with the results of other studies based on theoretical foundations, it seems adolescents with high levels of mindfulness would be better able to recognize disturbing experiences and with lower likelihood being engaged in substance abuse behaviors as a way to deal with such experiences.

Some models relating to emotion regulation had focused on the role of mindfulness in regulating emotions efficiently. One of the components of mindfulness that researchers agree upon is related to accept nonjudgmental emotions. Accordingly, mindfulness is considered to be an immediate awareness and nonjudgmental in which thoughts
and feelings are accepted as they are. Therefore, mindfulness-based therapies that focus on the adoption nonjudgmental approach have been used in several disorders such as anxiety and substance abuse.

Interventions that focus on emotion regulation skills, such as dialectic behavioral therapy, can be effective on reducing substance abuse. These interventions actually can be used for encountering with negative emotions by facilitating none-judgmental attitude toward negative experiences, moreover, at the same time increase the degree of adaptability through formal and informal procedures. Such interventions include training emotional regulation, emotions-based therapies and mindfulness cognitive-behavioral therapies.

Table 2: Correlation matrix and descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Emotion reappraisal</th>
<th>Expressive suppression</th>
<th>Mindfulness</th>
<th>Perceived criticism</th>
<th>Drug abuse</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotion reappraisal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6±5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive suppression</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62.5±15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived criticism</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.2±10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug abuse</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.4±25.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD=Standard deviation

Table 3: Standardized regression coefficients (beta), a critical ratio (CR), P value and direct and indirect effects of factors related to the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Estimate (total effect)</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Direct effect</th>
<th>Indirect effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness on drug abuse</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-6.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion regulation on drug abuse</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness on emotion regulation</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived criticism on drug abuse</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived criticism on mindfulness</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR=Critical ratio

Table 4: Goodness-of-fit indices for structural modeling of drug abuse, mindfulness, emotion regulation and perceived criticism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Accepted value</th>
<th>Model value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute fit measures</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$/df</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental fit measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.80</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsimony fit measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFI=Goodness of fit index, RMSEA=Root mean square error of approximation, AGFI=Adjusted goodness of fit index, PCFI=Parsimony comparative of fit index

Figure 1: Structural equation modeling for explaining drug abuse based on mindfulness, emotion regulation and perceived criticism
According to the results, criticism both positively and negatively, through mindfulness, associated with possibility of drug abuse among students. Consistent with current study, other studies have revealed the association of criticism with some mental disorders\(^{[11]}\) and drug abuse.\(^{[11]}\)

McCary and Epstein\(^{[43]}\) have asserted that criticism made by parents in the form of frequent criticism can be prelude for the increased possibility of alcohol and drug use. Hence when young people are criticized, they may be led to emotional coping behaviors such as drug and alcohol abuse to overcome the stress and turmoil due to criticism.\(^{[11]}\)

Regarding results, emotion regulation reduced the likelihood of drug use among students. Previous studies have focused on the positive effects of emotion regulation in reducing the tendency and likelihood of substance use in adolescents.\(^{[44,45]}\)

Theory of learned preparation\(^{[46]}\) refers to the root risk factors of substance abuse. According to this theory, impulsivity forms the process of learning by predisposing individuals to acquire positive expectations for substance, resulting in more possibility of engaging in drug abuse. Moreover, adolescents with impulsivity characteristics supposed to be shaped positive expectation for conducting substance use behavior due to learning error regarding to reinforcement consequences, instead of punitive consequences. Accordingly, teenagers who do not use adaptive emotion regulation more likely have been developing positive expectations about strengthening effects of drug use in the way that drug use has positive consequences and its usage can reduce their negative emotions. This issue can increase the likelihood of drug use among them.

One explanation for the application of learned preparation theory in this study can be as follows, since adolescence is a period of growth and development and in this era social relations and interactions have become more important, beliefs related to social strengthening effects of drug use get more emphasized.\(^{[43]}\) Moreover, research has shown that emotion regulation and mindfulness are associated with performance and social consequences.\(^{[47]}\) For example, lower levels of stress can lead to more positive social outcomes, and hence adolescents who have lower mindfulness and defect from their emotional functioning might learn that engaging in behaviors such as drug abuse may increase their positive social experiences eventually. Other studies have shown the validity of this hypothesis.\(^{[48]}\)

**Conclusions**

To reduce the likelihood and willingness of students toward substance abuse, school, and family-based psycho-social strategies are recommended considering their influencing on students’ emotion and thought. One possible strategy is using of techniques to challenge the expectations of young people in such a way its intervention for substance-abused adolescent had been successful.\(^{[49]}\) In this technique, the individual expectations of the consequences of certain behaviors such as drug and tobacco are challenged and it is supposed that with altering expectations from positive to negative, the possibility of adolescent’s engagement in substance use-related behaviors is reduced. Besides, making parents aware of adverse consequences of Dysfunctional criticism, by strengthening parenting skills can be effective.

One of the strengths of our study was applying the structural model to explore mediating effects of main psychological determinants on drug abuse. Moreover, this study was focused on adolescent age group which is regarded as the most vulnerable and susceptible groups to be substance abuse.

Among the limitations of the present study, unfortunately, we did not have access to female student because of some difficulties during gathering data. It should be said that due to some psychosocial differences between male and female, explanations of drug abuse for female students might be differ from males too. Moreover, regarding cross-sectional–based nature of this study and lack of causality relation between variables, results should be treated cautiously.
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