Prevalence of Psychiatric Disorders in Iran: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Abstract
The prevalence of mental disorders in Iran is rising for many reasons including the population growth and its problems, the collapse of the family foundation, the economic problems, etc. Epidemiological studies of psychiatric disorders play an important role in determining the general mental health of the population and policy-making and future planning of service delivery. To identify the relevant studies, two authors independently searched different scholarly databases including Embase, PubMed/MEDLINE, ISI/Web of Science (WOS), Scopus, Psych INFO, and Iranian databases such as MagIran, SID, IranPsych, and Irandoc from 1st January 2007 up to 1st July 2018. The gray literature (through Google Scholar) was also mined. Studies written in English or in the Persian language were searched. After searching the databases and removing duplicates cases, a total of 10 studies were selected and included in the study, which reported a total of 14 prevalence rates. There were a total of 72,262 participants, of whom 32,925 were male and 39,337 were female. The prevalence of psychiatric disorders in studies which used screening tool was 31.03% (95% confidence interval: 25.99–36.07). The prevalence was 25.42% in studies which used clinical interviews (95% CI: 15.96–34.88). There is an undeniable fact that the prevalence of mental disorders in Iran has been increasing, and this could be a warning to policy-makers and health system managers. Hence, it is necessary to pay attention to this issue to maintain social capital, vitality, and efficiency of individuals and society as a whole.
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Introduction
Today, we encounter a warning increase in the incidence of mental disorders around the world, which stems from political turmoil, continuous wave of violence, and frequent changes in the social texture of countries,[1,2] so that mental disorders and substance abuse are considered one of the most important health problems in the world.[3]

According to the conducted studies, psychiatric disorders are one of the most important and significant components of the overall burden of diseases.[4] The findings of the global burden of diseases study in 2015 have shown that psychiatric disorders are one of the main causes of the global burden of illnesses.[5] It is estimated that approximately 900 million people in the world suffer from mental illnesses, accounting for 19% of the world’s total population.[6,7] Of these, 75% live in low- and middle-income countries.[8] In 2015, mental disorders caused 10.7 million disability-adjusted life year (DALYs) in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) of World Health Organization, which accounts for 4.7% of the total EMR’s DALYs.[9] Mental disorders also created 10.7 million years lost due to disability (YLDs) in EMR, accounting for 15.9% of the total EMR’s YLDS.[9]

Various studies have already been conducted on the prevalence of mental disorders in Iran.[10,11] According to mental health research in 2008, the prevalence of mental disorders in the population over the age of 15 years has been estimated to be 21% throughout the country. The prevalence of emotional disorders and schizophrenia in the country was 17% in 2001. The prevalence of mental disorders in Tehran was 34% in 2011.[12] According to another study, the prevalence of mental disorders in the country was 23%.[13] The results of the latest national study of mental disorders in Iran in 2015 showed that the prevalence of mental disorders in the country varies between 21% and 34.2%. However, one out of every four Iranian is suspected to mental disorders.[12]
Epidemiological studies of psychiatric disorders play an important role in determining the general mental health of the population and policy-making and future planning of service delivery. Given the diversity of studies conducted, review studies are appropriate options for extracting, combining, and integrating data from various studies.[14]

In this regard, various studies have been conducted in different countries on the prevalence of mental disorders.[15,16] To date, one systematic review has been conducted on the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Iran in 2007. In this systematic review, 35 studies were reviewed by the end of 2006, and its results showed that the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in screening studies was 28.70% and in studies conducted through diagnostic interviews was 18.60%.[17] Extensive research have been done on the prevalence rate of mental disorders in Iran the during recent 10 years, which are diverse in terms of time, place, measurement tools, and so on. However, there is no systematic review of the integration and interpretation of these data. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Iranian adult population through a systematic review from 2007 to 2018.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

This review has been performed according to the “Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses” guidelines.[18] Two authors independently searched different scholarly databases including Embase, PubMed/MEDLINE, ISI/Web of Science (WOS), Scopus, Psych INFO, and Iranian databases such as MagIran, SID, IranPsych, and IranDoc from 1st January 2007 up to 1st July 2018. The gray literature (through Google Scholar) was also mined. Studies written in English or in the Persian language were searched. Our search strategy was as follows: (Prevalence OR Epidemiology) AND (Mental Disorders OR Psychiatric Diagnosis OR Behavior Disorders OR Severe Mental Disorder) AND (Iran). Medical subject headings and wild-card options were used where appropriate. This search strategy was planned together with an information specialist. In addition, reference lists of each identified study were examined for potentially eligible studies.

Inclusion criteria

All main studies that examined the prevalence of mental disorders in the general population were included in the study. These include (1) the prevalence of mental disorders determined by screening tools such as questionnaires; and (2) The prevalence of mental disorders determined by clinical interviews. Prevalence can be determined as “point prevalence,” “lifetime prevalence,” or “periodic prevalence.” Point prevalence is a proportion of the population that has a mental disorder at a given point of time (for example, 1 day to 1 month). Periodic prevalence is a proportion of the population that has mental disorders in a specific time period (such as 12-month prevalence) and includes those who have had the disorder at the start of the study or have had mental disorders during the study. The lifetime prevalence is a proportion of the population experiencing mental disorders at a point in their life (up to the time of study).[18]

Exclusion criteria

Studies which were not original such as review studies, studies conducted in specific population groups such as immigrants, prisoners, students, or those who referred to treatment centers, and studies conducted exclusively in children and adolescents (under 15-year-old) were excluded from the study. In addition, studies have been conducted to follow-up the services and treatment provided to a specific group, studies that examine the relationship between genetic and mental disorders, or studies that were limited to assessing mental disorders associated with drug abuse and addiction, personality disorders, and backwardness of life were excluded. As we encountered with studies in which there was overlapping in the sample (such as cases where a large study was split into smaller studies), the most comprehensive report was examined.

Data extraction

Two authors independently extracted the following data from the selected studies: prevalence estimate of “any psychiatric disorder” among men, women, and total sample (along with confidence intervals and standard errors); study time frame; study location and the geographical extent of data collection; data collection tools and methods (questionnaire, face-to-face diagnostic interview or checklists, psychometric properties of the instruments); and sample characteristics. Prevalence rates are classified in two ways: life-time or point prevalence in one hand and prevalence rates obtained through diagnostic interview or screening (probable disorder) on the other hand. In the event that the two researchers’ opinions differed on data extraction of any of the studies, they first tried to reach consensus through discussion; then they consulted the corresponding author, if they could not overcome the disagreement. All the information was entered in the forms which had been designed for this purpose.

Statistical analysis

The prevalence data were described and synthesized descriptively, and the sources of heterogeneity were discussed. A random-effects meta-analysis was used to give a pooled estimate of prevalence of mental health symptoms for each measure. Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated using the F statistic with greater than 75% representing high heterogeneity.[19] Statistical analyses were conducted using STATA version 13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).
Results

After searching the databases and removing duplicates, a total of 10 studies were selected and included in the study, which reported a total of 14 prevalence rates [13,20-28] [Figure 1]. All selected studies were journal articles. From 10 studies, two studies were conducted at the national level, seven studies at urban regions, and one study in rural areas. One study reported a periodic prevalence and nine studies measured the point prevalence. Six studies were conducted using the one-step method and four studies were performed in two stages so that first the suspected cases were identified using the screening tool, and then they measured the incidence of mental disorders using a clinical interview for suspected cases. Out of five studies that were conducted as one-step study using the screening tool, three studies used General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) questionnaire and two studies used SCL-90-R, and one study was conducted using a clinical interview. In four studies that were performed in two stages, three studies used GHQ questionnaire for screening and then they used DSM-IV checklist for clinical interview with suspicious persons. In another study, the Duke University Questionnaire (DUQ) questionnaire was used in the first stage for screening, and DSM-IV checklist was used for clinical interviews [Tables 1-3]. The quality assessment of studies using the STROBE checklist showed that seven studies had good quality, and three studies had moderate quality. The average response rate in the studies was 94.9%. The Persian version of the screening tool in all of the studies has had acceptable reliability and validity. There were a total of 72,262 participants, of whom 32,925 were male and 39,337 were female. The prevalence of psychiatric disorders in studies which used screening tool was 31.03% (95%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Type of document</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Year of the study</th>
<th>Total sample size</th>
<th>Male sample size</th>
<th>Female sample size</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Cut-off</th>
<th>Prevalence persons</th>
<th>Prevalence male</th>
<th>Prevalence female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parvaresh</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>Kerman city residents older than 15 years old</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1491</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>GHQ</td>
<td>DSM-IV checklist</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fakhari</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>17 years and older in north-West area, Tabriz</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2623</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>DUQ</td>
<td>DSM-IV checklist</td>
<td>30 or less</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veisani</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>People aged 15 years and over from all 10 cities in Ilam province</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>GHQ</td>
<td>DSM-IV checklist</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DUQ=Duke University Questionnaire, DSM-IV=Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Type of document</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Year of the study</th>
<th>Total sample size</th>
<th>Male sample size</th>
<th>Female sample size</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Cut-off</th>
<th>Prevalence persons</th>
<th>Prevalence male</th>
<th>Prevalence female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vandad sharifi</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>15-65 in the urban and rural areas of Iran</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>7,886</td>
<td>3,387</td>
<td>4,499</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>CIDI</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
confidence interval: 25.99–36.07) [Figure 2]. The prevalence was 25.42% in studies which used clinical interviews (95% CI: 15.96–34.88) [Figure 3]. One study only reported the periodic prevalence of mental disorders at 23.6%. The prevalence of mental disorders was 17.23% (95% CI: 15.78–18.68) according to the DUQ questionnaire, 29.66% (95% CI: 24.09–35.24) according to the GHQ questionnaire, and 42.70% (95% CI: 18.98–66.41) according to the SCL-90-R questionnaire [Figure 4].

To evaluate possible heterogeneity sources among studies, meta-regression analyses were carried out according to the year of publication, sample size, tools, and gender in screening studies. Table 4 shows the results. The relation between prevalence and gender was at the borderline in terms of significance (P = 0.085). In addition, meta-regression analyses were carried out according to the year of publication, sample size, and gender in studies depending clinical interview. Table 5 shows the result.

### Discussion and Conclusion

The present study was designed to determine the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in the general population. In this study, the prevalence of psychiatric disorders was 31.03% (95% CI 25.99–36.07) depending on screening in the general population and 25.42% (95% CI 15.96–34.88) depending on clinical interview, which was higher than countries such as Iraq (18.8%), India (12.2%), Turkey (20%), Finland (17.4%), Germany (27.7%), Greece (29%) and less than Norway (32.8%), Pakistan (34%), and Afghanistan (50%).[14,16,29‑33] The global mean prevalence of mental disorders is also 17.6%.[34] The prevalence of mental disorders in Iran’s general population was reported 29% in Farhudian et al. study, which was conducted through systematic review in 2007.[17] In addition, the prevalence of mental disorders was 22% on the basis of clinical interviews in that study. The comparison of the two studies showed that the prevalence of mental disorders increased by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Co-efficient</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>-1.97 * 10^-4</td>
<td>-9.26 * 10^-4 to 5.31 * 10^-4</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>-4.46 to 14.66</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>-1.73 to 23.40</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of publication</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-4.28 to 0.87</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: The results of meta-regression in study on the basis of clinical interview among Iranian general population over 15 years old

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Co-efficient</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>-6.65 * 10^-3</td>
<td>-3.009 * 10^-2 to 1.67 * 10^-2</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>-10.35-31.87</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of publication</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-3.80-5.87</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
approximately 2% depending on screening and more than 3% on the basis of clinical interviews over a decade. There are many social and economic factors, such as the economic crisis, unemployment, and poverty in the community, which are risk factors for health problems and predispose to mental disorders, suicide, and addiction. The high ratio of unemployed people and the high proportion of people living in poverty in the country can be the reasons for this issue.[33] These indicators are among the determinants of mental health in societies.[36,37] It is necessary to mentions that mental health is influenced by many variables and is not dependent solely on one agent, and these factors can vary in any society.[38] Therefore, attention to a conceptual framework of mental health indicators that make it possible to create a common language for measuring mental health status seems necessary,[39] as various countries have developed these indicators.[40] The differences between existing studies can be owing to methodological reasons, and it is suggested that an integrated instruction be provided to serve as a basis for measuring the prevalence of mental disorders and provided the minimum standards for these studies. Screening instruments have been GHQ and SCL-90-R in most of our studies like many other studies in the world. The advantage of the GHQ is not only considerations of time but also widespread use in other disciplines, which provide the possibility for comparison.[41] Another advantage of this tool is the simplicity of completing and easy scoring.[42,43] In addition, the benefit of the SCL-90-R is the fact that this tool covers a lot of dimensions, and it is more effective in multidimensional psychiatric research.[44] However, the only negative point in these studies was a different cut-off point. The results of the study indicate that the most prevalence was in studies that used SCL-90-R as a measuring tool. The reason for this issue is that this tool is used to diagnose suspected cases in most studies; therefore, the measured prevalence through this tool is high. Given the difference in the prevalence rate that was measured on the basis of screening and clinical interviews, there is an undeniable fact that the prevalence of mental disorders in Iran has been increasing, and this could be a warning to policy-makers and health system managers. Hence, it is necessary to pay attention to this issue to maintain social capital, vitality, and efficiency of individuals and society as a whole.
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