ABSTRACT

Background: Constipation is physically and mentally troublesome for many patients and has adverse effects on their quality of life. The aim of the present study was to systematically review previous studies on the epidemiology of constipation in Iran.

Methods: Bibliographic databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, and Iranian databases including Scientific Information Database, Iran Medex, and Magiran were searched to select studies that reported the prevalence of constipation in Iran.

Results: Overall, 10 articles met the inclusion criteria of the current study. The prevalence of constipation in Iran ranged from 1.4-37%, and the prevalence of functional constipation was reported to be 2.4-11.2%. Gender, age, socioeconomic status and educational level seem to have major effects on this condition.

Conclusion: The prevalence of constipation is high in Iran. There are very few data available regarding the natural history, quality of life and risk factors of constipation in our country. Conducting population-based studies is necessary to explore different epidemiological aspects of constipation in Iran.
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INTRODUCTION

Functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) include a variety of symptom-based conditions, which cannot be adequately explained by organic findings. FGIDs affecting the lower gastrointestinal tract include irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), functional constipation, functional bloating, functional diarrhea and unspecific functional bowel disorders. Functional constipation is a common bowel disorder and presents as “persistently difficult, infrequent, or incomplete defecation”, which does not meet the criteria to be considered as IBS. Functional constipation occurs in up to 27% of the general population and affects all age groups, but seems to be more prevalent in women than men.

Previous studies investigating the prevalence of functional constipation have reported a wide range of prevalence rates, which is a result of differences in the definition of criteria used, sampling methods, and the studied populations. The prevalence of constipation in North America ranged from 1.9% to 27.2%. In one systematic review, in the general population of Europe and Oceania, the mean value of the reported constipation rates were
17.1% and 15.3%, respectively.[4] In a Canadian survey, employing the Rome I and Rome II criteria, the prevalence of functional constipation was reported to be 16.7% and 14.9%, respectively.[5] In a systematic review in China, functional constipation had a prevalence of 6% and was more prevalent among women.[6] In a population–based study on bowel habits in Korea, the prevalence was 16.5% for self-reported constipation and 9.2% for functional constipation. The prevalence of constipation was observed to increase with age and decrease with higher levels of education and economic status.[7]

The impact of constipation on the quality of life may vary across nations but is generally believed to be of high significance. According to a multinational survey carried out in France, Germany, Italy, UK, South Korea, Brazil and USA, Brazilians had the highest prevalence of constipation which was considered to be due to their “social functioning and general health perception”.[8]

The prevalence of constipation and functional constipation was estimated to be 2.4%-11% in Tehran.[9-12] In a study conducted by Adibi et al. in Isfahan, the prevalence of constipation was reported to be 32.9%.[13] In Tabriz, located in northwest of Iran, the prevalence of constipation was 3.6%[14] but it was 27% in the victims of the catastrophic earthquake of Bam.[15]

Because of its high prevalence and strong impact on the quality of life, productivity and resources, the burden of FGIDs seems to be relatively high in developing countries such as Iran.[16-18] In a cross-sectional population-based study in Iran, the mean of 6-months-total medical expenses was estimated to be approximately 147 PPP$ for functional constipation (purchasing power parity dollars).[17] Total costs per year of IBS and functional constipation for the urban adult population of Iran was roughly estimated at 2.94 billion PPP$ and 89.2 million PPP$, respectively, in a burden analysis study.[18]

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review investigating the epidemiology of constipation and functional constipation in Iran. In addition, this review provides background knowledge for the “Study on the Epidemiology of Psychological, Alimentary Health and Nutrition” (SEPAHAN).[19] The data of SEPAHAN will explore the epidemiology of FGIDs in the Isfahan province and will be published later by the same study group.

METHODS

This was a systematic review study investigating all published studies on the epidemiology of constipation in Iran.

Search strategy and citations library

In March 2012, we used a computer-assisted searching method to identify articles in five electronic bibliographic databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, Scientific Information Database (www.sid.ir), IranMedex (www.iranmedex.com), and Magiran (www.magiran.com). The three latter databases were searched, to find articles published in local journals. Reference lists of potentially relevant articles were also hand-searched to identify additional articles. Details of the key words used to search the above mentioned databases are presented in figure 1.

Study selection criteria

Two reviewers conducted the primary review and study selection. First, the titles of all collected articles were reviewed and those related to the subject were selected. Then, abstracts of the selected articles were reviewed, and relevant articles were selected to have their full texts retrieved and reviewed in the next step. Those articles that fulfilled the following selection criteria were included in our study: 1) Presenting an estimation of constipation prevalence, 2) Conducted in an adult age group, and 3) Published in full manuscript form. Studies conducted in highly selective populations (e.g. medical conditions) were excluded (Figure 1).

Data extraction

Eligible articles were reviewed by two reviewers. From these studies, data on the prevalence of reported constipation, gender distribution, and the mean age of the study population were extracted, if available. Data on the district of the study, diagnostic definition and criteria used, study population, sample size, and case ascertainment methods to define constipation and demographic factors were also extracted (Table 1).
**Searching strategy:**
PubMed query was: ("constipation"[MeSH Terms] OR "constipation"[All Fields]) AND ("iran"[MeSH Terms] OR "iran"[All Fields])
Google Scholar database was searched using the following terms: “constipation” and “Iran” and “epidemiology”
Constipation and its equivalents in Persian were matched and used to search in SID and IranMedex and Magiran
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**Figure 1.** Diagram of search strategies and screening for articles to be included in the systematic review of constipation in Iran
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Mean Age ±SD</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Case Ascertainment Method</th>
<th>Diagnostic Definition</th>
<th>Prevalence of Constipation/FC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaboli et al.</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>General population</td>
<td>38.7 ± 17.0</td>
<td>18180</td>
<td>Interview + Questionnaire</td>
<td>For constipation: self-reported For FC: Rome III</td>
<td>Constipation: 6.33% FC: 2.41% F: 3.5% M: 1.3% (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sorouri et al.</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>General population</td>
<td>38.7 ± 17.0</td>
<td>18180</td>
<td>Interview + Questionnaire</td>
<td>Rome III</td>
<td>FC: 2.4% F: 3.7% M: 1.2% (P &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Adibi et al.</td>
<td>Isfahan</td>
<td>subjects attending the pre-marriage health screening and consultation center</td>
<td>Range: 14-41</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Rome II, Self-reported</td>
<td>Constipation (Rome II): 32.9% F: 41.3% M: 25.4% Constipation (self-reported): 9.4% F: 15.4% M: 4.1% (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pourhoseingholi et al.</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>General population</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>30334</td>
<td>Interview + Questionnaire</td>
<td>Rome III</td>
<td>Constipation: 5.1% F: 6.2% M: 3.9% (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>andelRosh et al.</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>Patients referring to an outpatient gastroenterology clinic</td>
<td>41.8 ± 16.5</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>Interview + Questionnaire</td>
<td>Rome II</td>
<td>FC: 11.2% F: 13.9% M: 6.6% (P = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Massarrat et al.</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>Randomly selected pastoral nomads and 492 industrial labourers</td>
<td>Range: 35-55</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Chronic constipation: Nomads: 1.4% Industrial labourers: 3.3% Constipation: 3.6% F: 5.0% M: 2.2% (P value: not reported) Constipation: 2% Females &gt; males (P value: not reported)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Khoshbaten et al.</td>
<td>Tabriz</td>
<td>General population</td>
<td>47.14 ± 6.75</td>
<td>3983</td>
<td>Questionnaire, clinical examination, and interview</td>
<td>Presence of constipation in the past two weeks</td>
<td>Constipation: 37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ganji et al.</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>Patients referring to an outpatient gastroenterology clinic</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>7985</td>
<td>Clinical evaluation</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Constipation: 27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Honarkar et al.</td>
<td>Bam</td>
<td>People injured in earthquake</td>
<td>30 ± 14</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>Interview + Questionnaire</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Constipation: 37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Saberi et al.</td>
<td>Kashan</td>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Presence of constipation &quot;most of the time&quot; in the past 4 weeks</td>
<td>Constipation: 37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation, FC: Functional constipation
RESULTS

In our research, using specific key words and the above-mentioned method, 1629 articles were identified in PubMed, Scientific Information Database, IranMedex, Google Scholar and Magiran (Figure 1). A number of articles were indexed in two or more of the searched databases, but only one version of these articles was included in this review. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 10 studies were found to fulfill the selection criteria.\cite{10-15,20-23} Although two articles represented an identical study, we had to include them in the present review as the prevalence of constipation was reported in one article\cite{11} and the prevalence of functional constipation was reported in both articles.\cite{11,20}

Three of the 10 included studies used the Rome II criteria\cite{12-14} and four employed the Rome III criteria\cite{10,11,20} for the diagnosis of constipation. In four studies, no definition or criteria was mentioned for the diagnosis of constipation.\cite{15,21-23} The reported prevalence ranged from 1.4% to 37% for constipation and 2.4% to 11.2% for functional constipation.\cite{10-15,20-23} Massarrat et al. who conducted a survey on constipation in pastoral nomads and industrial labourers reported a prevalence of 1.4% in nomads and 3.3% in industrial labourers.\cite{23} Saberi et al. reported the prevalence of constipation to be 37% among hospital personnel.\cite{21} In most Iranian studies the prevalence of constipation was higher amongst women than men.\cite{10-14,22}

DISCUSSION

Our systematic review identified 10 studies that reported the prevalence of constipation according to available data collected from different provinces in Iran. The prevalence of constipation in Iran ranged from 1.4% to 37% and the prevalence of functional constipation ranged from 2.4% to 11.2%.\cite{10-15,20-23}

The prevalence of constipation in the general worldwide population, is stated to range from 0.7% to 79%.\cite{24} Constipation is generally less prevalent in Iran, compared to the western countries. One possible reason for the lower prevalence of functional constipation in Iran compared to western countries could be the architecture of Iranian toilets that allows more flexing of the hip joints. Full flexion of the hips stretches the anal canal in an antero-posterior direction and straightens the anorectal angle, which in turn promotes the emptying of the rectum.\cite{25} Moreover, several dietary factors such as the higher consumption rate of vegetables and fruits by Iranians could be the cause of the lower prevalence of constipation.\cite{26}

The results of this review showed remarkable differences between the prevalence of constipation in men and women. In the majority of the studies, the prevalence of constipation was higher in the female gender. This is in accordance with a review conducted in North America; which reported the prevalence of constipation to be consistently higher in women.\cite{27} Factors that may contribute to higher constipation rates in females include the fact that women are more likely to report the symptoms, and that they tend to respond to surveys more than men. Additionally, women are at a higher risk of injury to the pelvic floor muscles and nerves.\cite{27-30} The effect of sex hormones in promoting GI motility and the autonomic nervous system may also be the reason of the female predominance in constipation.\cite{13,28} Some studies have focused on female sex hormones, because in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, when plasma progesterone levels rise, gastrointestinal transit time elevates.\cite{31,34}

Age effects on the prevalence of constipation were frequently reported in Iranian studies. The prevalence of anatomic abnormalities, such as rectocele, rectal intussusceptions or prolapse, or pelvic floor dyssynergia, is higher in the older population, and this is suggested to contribute to constipation symptoms. The higher prevalence of constipation in the elderly might also be due to the lower dietary and energy intake. A low dietary intake, leads to reduction of the fecal volume and weight, and hence causes constipation. Multiple medication use might be another explanation.\cite{27} In the study conducted by Adibi et al. however, there was no report of a significant difference regarding the age of participants. This could be due to the fact that their study recruited young adults.\cite{13}

An increased prevalence of constipation was
found to be in correlation with low socioeconomic status and low educational levels in several studies. The differences in constipation rates by educational level could be due to differences in dietary habits.\[^{11}\]

There are other factors that have been reported in Iranian studies. Honarkar et al. showed that anorexia, early satiety, distention and constipation were significantly more prevalent among patients with major fractures including: pelvic and limb fractures. They also demonstrated a significant association between the severity of physical injury, and the prevalence and severity of gastrointestinal symptoms.\[^{15}\] In the study conducted by Kaboli et al. a greater percentage of obese individuals were observed to have functional constipation, compared to non-obese subjects.\[^{11}\] In a study in the US on the other hand, no significant relation was found between body mass index (BMI) and constipation; although constipation was somewhat more frequent in obese patients.\[^{35}\] Saberi et al. demonstrated that, in a population of nurses in Iran, the prevalence of GI symptoms was higher in shift-work nurses compared with day-working nurses.\[^{20}\] Their finding is in agreement with previous studies.\[^{36,37}\]

The wide range of the prevalence rates reported in Iranian studies is most likely the result of different demographic factors, sampling methods, and diagnostic definitions employed in these studies.\[^{2}\] For instance, the study of Masmarrat et al. on two populations of pastoral nomads and industrial labourers resulted in the report of a prevalence of 1.4% in nomads and 3.3% in industrial labourers.\[^{23}\] Saberi et al. conducted a study on the personnel of a hospital in Kashan and reported the prevalence of 37% for constipation.\[^{21}\] Honarkar et al. conducted a study in Bam, after the Bam earthquake. The prevalence of constipation in this city was 27.1%.\[^{15}\] Moreover, in several studies, the criteria of Rome II was employed whilst some applied the Rome III criteria. None of the studies were nationwide and study populations only involved a proportion of the population of a city or province.

This systematic review showed the high prevalence of constipation in Iran. Few studies explored the risk factors of constipation. Scarc data are available regarding the natural history and quality of life in patients with constipation, and future effort should be focused on research on these subjects. Since, constipation is related to the decreased quality of life and also has major economic consequences, it is also highly suggested that further population-based studies be carried out in different provinces of Iran, to give an estimation of the prevalence of constipation and its related risk factors.
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